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RESULTS: A total of 26 issues and 205 articles were published in the 9 years analyzed; of which:

- 5 Scientific editorials (3%)
- 49 Dermatological training articles (24%)
- 51 Original articles (25%)
- 42 Clinical cases (20%)
- 2 Scientific notes (1%)
- 3 Special articles (3%)
- 26 Derm@red articles (11%)
- 16 Scientific recommendations (7%)
- and 5 Director letters (3%)

The most common clinical theme was the treatment of deterioration of skin integrity, ulcers and chronic wounds, especially pressure ulcers (45%), both in editorial and in original article, review or clinical case. The profile of the first author is preferably male (58%), Comunidad Valenciana (77%) and male nurse specialist (52%).

BACKGROUND: It is a participatory scientific journal, plural and open to all professionals whose direct or indirect activity is the management and care of the skin, as well as the prevention and treatment of the diseases that affect it. It is therefore a journal oriented to the development of Nursing and other professions related to health sciences such as medicine, Podology, Pharmacology, Psychology, etc.

OBJECTIVE: analyze the scientific production of Nursing Dermatology Magazine until 2015, as well as its evolution and improvements since 2007.

METHODS: Descriptive and bibliometric study of all articles published from January 2007 to December 2015 in the Dermatological Nursing Journal, accessible to full text on the website of the National Association of Dermatological Nursing and Research on the Impairment of Cutaneous Integrity (ANEDIDIC). Valuation of contents through the system of peer review and ad hoc listing. It was quantified the type of article by section, the academic and / or professional specialty of the first author, the institutional affiliation, the provenance and thematic area according to keywords. The sections of news and editorial presentation were excluded. The data were tabulated in EXCEL for descriptive statistical analysis.

DISCUSSION: Dermatological Nursing Journal, is a scientific journal specialized in dermatology, dermo-cosmetic, ulcers and wounds, that began to be published in 2007, in printed format and quarterly periodicity. Since 2007, the publication rules have improved 2 times. In 2012, it incorporated the peer review system, improving its editorial and scientific quality, indexing the full text in the DIALNET repository. Since 2013 it has been broadcast in Latin America through the Latindex Directory; In 2014 (plan for improvement) an ethical code of its own is established, the editorial committee is enlarged, the first scientific publishing house is published and published exclusively in electronic format. In 2015, it was indexed in the database CUIDEN, which improves its impact factor and citation. The published articles are mainly secondary sources (evidences, recommendations, consensuses, experiences, etc.). The improvement plan includes promoting greater production of original articles, clinical trials (primary sources), as well as the participation of more external authors to ANEDIDIC. In summary, the data show that scientific production and dissemination is improved, but the evolution and the path covered allows us to confirm that the evolution is positive, although we must and can improve quality standards.