



# Pathogenesis and Clinical Relevance of *Candida* Biofilms in Vulvovaginal Candidiasis

Carmen Rodríguez-Cerdeira<sup>1,2,3,4\*</sup>, Erick Martínez-Herrera<sup>4,5</sup>, Miguel Carnero-Gregorio<sup>1,6</sup>, Adriana López-Barcenas<sup>3,4,7</sup>, Gabriella Fabbrocini<sup>3,8</sup>, Monika Fida<sup>3,9</sup>, May El-Samahy<sup>3,10</sup> and José Luís González-Cespón<sup>1</sup>

### **OPEN ACCESS**

#### Edited by:

Juliana Campos Junqueira, São Paulo State University, Brazil

#### Reviewed by:

Rohitashw Kumar, University at Buffalo, United States Noelly Queiroz Ribeiro, Federal University of Minas Gerais, Brazil

#### \*Correspondence:

Carmen Rodríguez-Cerdeira crodcer@uvigo.es; carmen.rodriguez.cerdeira@sergas.es; carmencerdeira33@gmail.com

#### Specialty section:

This article was submitted to Fungi and Their Interactions, a section of the journal Frontiers in Microbiology

Received: 20 March 2020 Accepted: 23 October 2020 Published: 11 November 2020

#### Citation:

Rodríguez-Cerdeira C, Martínez-Herrera E, Carnero-Gregorio M, López-Barcenas A, Fabbrocini G, Fida M, El-Samahy M and González-Cespón JL (2020) Pathogenesis and Clinical Relevance of Candida Biofilms in Vulvovaginal Candidiasis. Front. Microbiol. 11:544480. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2020.544480 <sup>1</sup> Efficiency, Quality, and Costs in Health Services Research Group (EFISALUD), Health Research Institute, SERGAS-UVIGO, Vigo, Spain, <sup>2</sup> Department of Dermatology, Hospital do Meixoeiro and University of Vigo, Vigo, Spain, <sup>3</sup> European Women's Dermatologic and Venereologic Society, Tui, Spain, <sup>4</sup> Psychodermatology Task Force of the Ibero-Latin American College of Dermatology (CILAD), Buenos Aires, Argentina, <sup>6</sup> Unidad de Investigación, Hospital Regional de Alta Especialidad de Ixtapaluca, Ixtapaluca, Mexico, <sup>6</sup> Department of Molecular Diagnosis (Array & NGS Division), Institute of Cellular and Molecular Studies, Lugo, Spain, <sup>7</sup> Section of Mycology, Department of Dermatology, Manuel Gea González hospital, Mexico City, Mexico, <sup>8</sup> Department of Dermatology, University of Naples Federico II, Naples, Italy, <sup>9</sup> Department of Dermatology, University of Medicine, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt

The ability of Candida spp. to form biofilms is crucial for its pathogenicity, and thus, it should be considered an important virulence factor in vulvovaginal candidiasis (VVC) and recurrent VVC (RVVC). Its ability to generate biofilms is multifactorial and is generally believed to depend on the site of infection, species and strain involved, and the microenvironment in which the infection develops. Therefore, both cell surface proteins, such as Hwp1, Als1, and Als2, and the cell wall-related protein, Sun41, play a critical role in the adhesion and virulence of the biofilm. Immunological and pharmacological approaches have identified the NLRP3 inflammasome as a crucial molecular factor contributing to host immunopathology. In this context, we have earlier shown that Candida albicans associated with hyphae-secreted aspartyl proteinases (specifically SAP4-6) contribute to the immunopathology of the disease. Transcriptome profiling has revealed that non-coding transcripts regulate protein synthesis posttranscriptionally, which is important for the growth of Candida spp. Other studies have employed RNA sequencing to identify differences in the 1,245 Candida genes involved in surface and invasive cellular metabolism regulation. In vitro systems allow the simultaneous processing of a large number of samples, making them an ideal screening technique for estimating various physicochemical parameters, testing the activity of antimicrobial agents, and analyzing genes involved in biofilm formation and regulation (in situ) in specific strains. Murine VVC models are used to study C. albicans infection, especially in trials of novel treatments and to understand the cause(s) for resistance to conventional therapeutics. This review on the clinical relevance of Candida biofilms in

1

WC focuses on important advances in its genomics, transcriptomics, and proteomics. Moreover, recent experiments on the influence of biofilm formation on WC or RVVC pathogenesis in laboratory animals have been discussed. A clear elucidation of one of the pathogenesis mechanisms employed by *Candida* biofilms in vulvovaginal candidiasis and its applications in clinical practice represents the most significant contribution of this manuscript.

Keywords: vulvovaginal candidiasis, Candida spp., biofilm models, proteomic, genomic, new anti-Candida targets

# INTRODUCTION

Vulvovaginal candidiasis (VVC) is a usual fungal infection caused by *Candida* species, mainly *Candida albicans*. It is characterized by inflammatory signs and symptoms detected in the vulva and vaginal mucosa that are caused and linked by an overgrowth of *Candida* species, which are generally present as quiescent vaginal commensals (Sobel, 2007).

The genus *Candida* belongs to the <u>Saccharomycetaceae</u> family. The organisms reproduce as exually or anamorphically through blastoconidia, do not produce melanin pigments, have diverse morphologies (globose, oval, cylindrical, and elliptical), and are sized between 3 and 10  $\mu$ m. In humans, different species of this genus are identified as commensals of the gastrointestinal tract, upper respiratory tract, skin, oral, vulvar, and vaginal mucosa (Neppelenbroek et al., 2014).

Comparative *Candida* species genomics will enhance our understanding of the genetic and phenotypic variations that occur inside the vulva and vagina and will further facilitate better understanding of the pathogenesis of these commensals in VVC (Bradford et al., 2017).

Despite the fact that *C. albicans* is the most common VVCcausing pathogen, the identification of non-*C. albicans Candida* (NCAC) species, mainly *C. glabrata*, as the originator of this infection appears to be continuously increasing. It is difficult to determine its prevalence because the diagnosis and treatment are often based on the symptoms and not dependent on the confirmation by microscopic examination or using culture techniques (Mahmoudi Rad et al., 2011).

Other NCAC species that must be taken into account are *C. tropicalis, C. parapsilosis, C. kefyr, C. krusei, C. guilliermondii, C. famata*, and *C. lusitaniae*. The production of virulence factors by these strains depends on the site and degree of invasion, as well as the nature of host response. Early identification of the involved strain is, therefore, essential for rapid diagnosis (Bitew and Abebaw, 2018). The techniques used most frequently for their identification are shown in **Figure 1**.

Yeasts that are present in the vagina become pathogenic when the host vagina allows it (Miró et al., 2017). Hostrelated factors involve pregnancy (Brown et al., 2019), hormonal imbalance, ill-treated diabetes, immunosuppression (either through immunosuppressive drugs or infection with the human immunodeficiency virus), which can act as a predisposing factor (Yano et al., 2019), use of broad-spectrum antibiotics (Shukla and Sobel, 2019) and glucocorticoids, and genetic predispositions (Gonçalves et al., 2016).

Other less recognized or more debatable factors are oral contraceptive administration (especially when the estrogen dose is high), estrogen therapy, use of intrauterine devices, spermicides, and condoms, and certain hygiene, clothing, and sexual practice-related routines (Corsello et al., 2003; Maraki et al., 2019). In general, the most common clinical characteristic related to vaginal inflammation is itching, which is followed by burning.

Recurrent vulvovaginal candidiasis (RVVC) is featured by four or more episodes of symptomatic infection in the same year, and clearly affects the quality of life in women (Blostein et al., 2017). Djohan et al. (2019) have reported a prevalence of RVVC in 23.5% (CI95: 19.49–28.02%) of women of reproductive age and identified five *Candida* species in a group of 94 patients with RVVC: *C. albicans* (59.6%), *C. glabrata* (19.1%), *C. tropicalis* (16%), *C. krusei* (4.2%), and *C. inconspicua* (1.1%). However, other authors have provided lower figures, but there is a consensus that the frequency of RVVC is increasing (Gonçalves et al., 2016; Denning et al., 2018).

Among other species, *C. kefyr* is frequently found to be present in the polyfungal population (Ozcan et al., 2010; Guzel et al., 2011).

However, a new pathogen, *C. auris*, has emerged recently (Kumar et al., 2015). Another emerging pathogen that has been identified is *C. nivariensis*, which is genetically related to *C. glabrata. C. nivariensis* was reported for the first time in Canary Islands, Spain, and at present, it seems to widely distributed worldwide. Inhibition of quorum sensing molecules with natamycin against *C. tropicalis* haven been used successfully by researchers (Agustín et al., 2019). However, the number of cases is low possibly due to the impossible phenotypic identification of *C. glabrata* (Aznar-Marin et al., 2016).

New compounds have been developed, with more candidates in the pipeline, for the treatment of biofilms associated with *Candida* spp. infections. Some of these are naturally occurring, while others have been developed by synthetic routes optimized in the laboratory. New antifungals such as acridone (de Oliveira et al., 2019) or nanotechnology-based techniques, such as the encapsulation of citric acid into Mg-Al-layered double hydroxides, have shown optimistic trends.

Prebiotics, probiotics, and symbiotics also offer promising results, as these are related to the stimulation of host immunity



and their presence is known to decrease the prevalence of *Candida* spp. (Davani-Davari et al., 2019).

Plant extracts and essential oils derived from the leaves of plants of Brazilian origin described by Costa et al. (2017), such as *Hymenaea courbaril* var. *courbaril, Myroxylon peruiferum*, and *Vismia guianensis*, have shown therapeutic potential in this regard. The derivatives of flavonoids, such as methylated isoflavones (i.e., formononetin 7-*O*-apiosyl glucoside) (Martins et al., 2016), or the polyphenol, licochalcone-A, found in the roots of *Glycyrrhiza* spp. (Seleem et al., 2016) or honey (Fernandes et al., 2020), have also been demonstrated to be useful.

Photodynamic therapy (Ghasemi et al., 2019), quorum sensing molecules and antibodies/peptides (Carrano et al., 2019) have emerged as viable treatment modalities in recent years. More sophisticated techniques, such as lock therapy (Visek et al., 2019), represent an important potential breakthrough in the treatment of biofilms produced due to infection by *Candida* spp.

In this review, we aimed to discuss the main characteristics of the female vulvovaginal mucosa, and the mechanisms employed by *Candida* spp. to colonize the host. Furthermore, the different kinds of biofilms formed by *Candida* spp., their impact on clinical practices, and the development of new agents against them will also be reviewed in depth.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

The databases MEDLINE (PubMed), and Embase were searched extensively for articles published from January 2003 to January

2020, using the following search terms: vulvovaginal candidiasis, *Candida* spp., *C. albicans, C. glabrata, C. parapsilosis, C. tropicalis, C. kefyr, C. auris, C. nivariensis*, biofilm, and antifungal agents. PRISMA Flow Diagram (**Figure 2**) depicted the flow of reports through the different stages of this systematic review. It mapped the number of records that were identified, included or excluded, and the reasons for exclusions.

# CHARACTERISTICS OF THE VULVOVAGINAL MUCOSA AND MECHANISMS THAT Candida spp. DEPLOYS COLONIZE IT

# Vaginal Ecosystem

The vaginal microbiota, which mainly constitutes of *Lactobacillus* spp., forms the most important defensive barrier against candidal infections (Smith and Ravel, 2017). The vaginal ecosystem balance is maintained at the expense of articulated interactions of different mechanisms, which keeps the female genital tract (FGT) healthy. Both epithelial cells of the vaginal mucosa and FGT immune system are under the control of the female sex hormones, estradiol, and progesterone. On the vaginal epithelium, estrogens regulate trophism, vascularity, and tissue vitality; in addition, it influences conditions such as humidity, pH, and vaginal discharge as well as vaginal microbiota composition (Achilles et al., 2018).



*Candida* spp. is considered as opportunistic pathogens. Although initially it was thought that yeast participates passively in the pathogenesis process and fungal infection establishment, but recently this concept has been modified, proposing an active participation of these microorganisms via the action of virulence factors (El-Houssaini et al., 2019).

Factors contributing to *C. albicans* pathogenesis include morphogenesis (transition between single-celled yeast cells to filamentous growth forms), secretion of enzymes like aspartyl proteases (SAP) and phospholipases, and host recognition biomolecules (adhesins), which lead to the biofilm formation process. Likewise, the phenotypic change is accompanied by alterations in antigen expression, colonial morphology, and affinity of *C. albicans* to tissues (El-Houssaini et al., 2019; Monika, 2019).

The experimental information on the contribution of these virulence factors has revealed that their individual participation is not sufficient to explain the mechanisms of damage in the host; moreover, there is a combined regulation mechanism operating between them. This was further demonstrated with polymorphism and gene expression analyses of SAPs. The studies currently being carried out on the genetic expression of virulence factors, which depends on different environmental conditions, will allow us to better understand how the biological activity of *Candida* spp. modifies to favor adhesion or penetration processes and, consequently, modifies its role to become a pathogenic microorganism (Silva et al., 2012; Kalaiarasan et al., 2018; Willems et al., 2018).

Araújo Paulo, de Medeiros et al. (2017) reported that the virulence characteristics of *C. albicans* contributing to its pathogenicity include the skill to adhere to human epithelial and endothelial cells, yeast to hyphae transition, extracellular hydrolytic enzyme (proteinases and phospholipases) secretion, phenotypic adaptability, and biofilm formation. Further, there is a relationship between virulence factor expression and VVC signs and symptoms identified in the patients, but it does not seem to be essential for the transition from colonization to infection.

### Adherence

Adhesion is initiated by non-specific binding, which is based on attractive and repulsive forces that bring the pathogen close to the host surface. Adhesins are biomolecules that promote the binding of specific ligands to host cells. The investigations by Zhao et al. (2003) stake the adhesion about the family of adhesins called ALS (Agglutinin-Like Sequence), which belongs to a group of eight genetically related glycosylated proteins with great allelic variability (ALS1-ALS7 and ALS9). ALS1 and ALS3 are particularly found to be important in the adhesion process. Other molecules that also promote the adhesion and penetration of C. albicans into the tissues are the polysaccharides, proteins, and lipids present on cell surface. Data supplied by the C. albicans genome sequencing project provided the main indication that the strain SC5314 encodes two different ALS9-like sequences and three ALS genes (ALS5, ALS1, and ALS9) next to chromosome 6 (Araújo et al., 2017).

Wilkins et al. (2018) showed that the eukaryotic proteome contains certain components that are encoded by open reading frame (ORF)s possessing protein-coding tandem repeat (TRs) (TR-ORFs, pcTRs), but their biological consequences are not clearly known. Ichikawa et al. (2019) analyzed the adherence and cytotoxicity of *C. glabrata* that selectively adhered to the epithelial cells. On the contrary, *C. parapsilosis* showed poor adherence to the HaCaT keratinocytes. *C. glabrata* caused more damage to the A549 cells than to the HaCaT cells, suggesting that *Candida* spp. exhibits different effects depending on the tissue on which they can adhere.

Modrzewska and Kurnatowski (2015) demonstrated that the adherence of *Candida* spp. on the tissue or cell wall of a host is based on the relationship that might exist between the two. A variety of genes that are responsible for the adhesion capacity of fungal cell wall, like HWP1, which encodes protein 1 of the hyphal wall and germ tubes and is responsible for biofilm formation. It is also responsible for the fungal virulence capacity and therefore, provides resistance to antifungal agents. This gene

is identified in both oral cavity and vaginal yeast infections (Fan et al., 2013).

Ardehali et al. (2019) identified that the frequency of HWP1 gene among *C. albicans* was 95%, with HWP1 being the most detected virulence factor, and SAP4 being the least detected one in the clinical specimens collected from patients hospitalized in the Intensive Care Unit (ICU) of Milad hospital, Tehran, Iran.

According to Cota and Hoyer (2015), the ALS genes encode fungal glycoproteins on the cell surface. A total of eight ALS genes have been reported so far (ASL1–7 and ALS9). According to Liu and Filler (2011), the ALS3 gene exhibits a dual function of adhesin and invasion. ALS3 is used for the preparation of vaccines because of its level of *in vivo* dispersion. According to Zajac et al. (2016), other genes that have been involved in cell adhesion are EPA (epithelial adhesin) genes that are specifically identified in *C. glabrata*, as in this *Candida* sp., ALS genes have not been identified to date.

According to Modrzewska and Kurnatowski (2015), the most important adhesins present on the fungal cell wall in *Candida* spp. are: ALS, EPA, HWP1, but also EAP1, SUN41, CSH1 and probably HYR1; for significant adhesion, they also possess Sap (secreted aspartyl proteases). Various other genes reported to positively affect adhesion and hyphal formation are CZF1, EFG1, TUP1, TPK1, TPK2, HGC1, RAS1, RIM101, VPS11, ECM1, CKA2, BCR1, BUD2, RSR1, IRS4, CHS2, SCS7, UBI4, UME6, TEC1, and GAT2.

# Morphogenesis

Morphogenesis refers to the conversion of a particular yeast form (unicellular) to the filamentous form of the fungus (hypha or pseudohypha), making it possible to adapt to different biological niches, which favors the fungal spread.

According to Min et al. (2019), *N*-acetylglucosamine (GlcNAc) potently induces the transition of *C. albicans* from budding to filamentous hypha growth. It also stimulates an epigenetic change that converts the white cells to opaque cells, which vary in morphology, metabolism, and virulence properties.

According to Min et al. (2018), the presence of TF (transcription factor) Ndt80 is necessary for the growth of hyphae in *C. albicans* and its presence is significant since it is conserved in most of the fungal species; however, it must be taken into account that its quantity is variable among the different fungal species. It regulates a variety of processes, such as sexual development, resistance to antifungals, fibrillation, virulence, and nutritional stress response, among others.

The human fungal pathogen *C. albicans* contains three virulence genes, namely NDT80, REP1, and RON1. RON1 deletion leads to growth defects when grown in GlcNAc media and hypha induction. To avoid this, a new short cross-linked palindromic/CRISPR repeat associated with Cas9 has been used for gene deletions (Min et al., 2019).

# **Phenotypic Switch**

Tang et al. (2019) demonstrated that the majority of *C. albicans* strains are capable of undergoing the phenomenon of phenotypic switch, which is associated with the manifestation of phenotypic changes at high frequency and a consequence of the action

of numerous environmental factors. This phenomenon induces an epigenetic change in colonial morphology. Hu et al. (2016) collected and subsequently employed 231 clinical isolates for genotyping as well as phenotypic switch analysis. A total of 65 different genotypes were recognized by microsatellite locus (CAI) genotyping assay, and some prominent genotypes were identified from certain human niches. The authors established that there is an association between the phenotypic switching and genotypes of the CAI microsatellite locus in these *C. albicans* clinical isolates.

During this process, enzymes able to break down the structural polymers that provide accessible nutrients for fungal growth, capability to evade the immune system, and suppress the host pro-inflammatory response are released. They are also responsible for inactivating certain molecules that are directly linked to the host defense mechanisms (Hu et al., 2016). The main extracellular enzymes (Basmaciyan et al., 2019) that are related to the pathogenesis of *Candida* spp. are described below:

Secreted aspartic proteinases (Sap) (Kadry et al., 2018) are regarded as one of the most critical virulence factors as they are related to adhesion, invasion, tissue damage, and evasion of the host immune system, due to its ability to hydrolyze various host proteins, including albumin, keratin, collagen, fibronectin, interleukin 1 $\beta$  (IL-1 $\beta$ ), and Immunoglobulin A.

### **Phospholipases**

Studies by Richmond and Smith (2011) and Dabiri et al. (2018) demonstrated the existence of glycoproteins with hydrolase activity (glyphospholipid esters are hydrolyzed) and lysophospholipiase transacylase (release fatty acids from lysophospholipids and transfer free fatty acid to another phospholipid), which play key roles in the infection process.

# **BIOFILMS**

For a long time, investigations in the area of microbial biofilms have received increasing momentum and scientific evidence has led to a change in the way we consider microbial life (de Barros et al., 2020). Biofilms are defined as heterogeneous and dynamic microbial communities undergoing continuous transformation. They can be comprised by a single bacterial/fungal species, or can be polymicrobial. Since there are differences between biofilms formed on mucosal and abiotic cells, it is precise to have *in vitro* and *in vivo* models that can mimic these processes (Tournu and Van Dijck, 2012).

In this context, new tools to analyze *Candida* biofilms have been implemented, including genomic, transcriptomic, proteomic, and metabolomics approaches, using a wide variety of animal models.

According to Cavalheiro and Teixeira (2018), biofilm formation is a complicated process, which starts with adhesion on an abiotic surface, a tissue, or the air-liquid interface. It is a continuous process, which undergoes different stages of development: (a) conditioning, (b) adhesion, (c) quorum sensing-induced extracellular matrix synthesis, (d) maturation, and (e) dispersion. These phases lead to the formation of a uniform structure in the form of homogeneous deposits and cellular viscous accumulations surrounded by a matrix of polymers with open channels for water movement (Chandra and Mukherjee, 2015).

Cells in the biofilms have different properties than those of isolated cells, which is because they have low growth rates and great resistance to antimicrobial treatment, and it makes their behavior different from those of planktonic cells (Muzny and Schwebke, 2015). Biofilms are biological systems that interact and evolve together. Hence, they need a wide network of genetic regulation to carry out both intra- and inter-cellular communication and specialization and originate biofilms made up of the same species or multi-species (Rodríguez-Cerdeira et al., 2019). *C. albicans* biofilm formation is a complex process that begins when the yeast cells adhere to host tissue surface, and the biofilm starts to form at an early stage (8–11 h), undergoes an intermediate stage (12–30 h), and finally reaches the mature stage (38–72 h) (Mathé and Van Dijck, 2013).

The mature biofilm comprises a dense network of yeasts, hyphae, and pseudohyphae, which is covered by an extracellular matrix and frequently associated with bacteria, as also described by Silva et al. (2012, 2014). Each *Candida* species (*C. albicans, C. glabrata, C. parapsilosis, C. tropicalis, C. nivariensis, C. kefyr*, and *C. auris*) shows significant peculiarities in terms of biofilm formation, which results in different morphologies, extracellular matrix composition, and resilience to antifungal agents (Cavalheiro and Teixeira, 2018) (**Figure 3**).

The importance of certain virulence factors was found to be closely related to Sap, which is related to biofilm production as described by Kadry et al. (2018). Isolates that were found to be resistant to three different anti-fungal drugs were reported as strong biofilm producers and shown to possess proteolytic activity as they contained either Sap9 or Sap10, or both (Černáková et al., 2019).

El-Houssaini et al. (2019) identified the virulence factors related to hydrolases, which are involved in cell surface hydrophobicity and biofilm production in vaginal samples. *C. albicans* resistance and virulence patterns observed in the samples were variable. The samples were highly resistant to physical and chemical treatments, making it difficult to treat them (Marak and Dhanashree, 2018).

# **Genomics of the Biofilm**

Numerous genes involved in biofilm formation have been identified in the *C. albicans* genome, including 50 transcriptional regulatory genes, and 101 transcriptional non-regulatory genes (Shukla and Sobel, 2019). Nobile et al. (2012) described the six main transcriptional regulatory genes known as "master" transcriptional regulators, including Efg1 (enhanced filamentous growth), Tec1 (transposon enhancement control), Bcr1 (biofilm and cell wall regulator), Ntd80 (meiosis-specific transcription factor), Brg1 (biofilm regulator), and Rob1 (biofilm regulator). Each of these genes are mandatory for normal biofilm development and have been identified both *in vitro*, under standard laboratory conditions and *in vivo*, in laboratory animals (rats).



to exhibit different cell morphologies, and highlights of the transcriptional factors involved in adhesion, extracellular polymeric substances, filamentation, and biofilm formation. MSCRAMM, microbial surface components recognizing adhesive matrix molecules; MGCx, extracellular matrix mannan-glucan complex; eDNA, external DNA.

Apart from these 6 genes, 44 other transcriptional regulatory genes have also been identified, and the deletion of these genes has been associated with erroneous biofilm formation (Ni et al., 2009). Chatterjee et al. (2015) identified eight OPT genes encoding the oligopeptide transporters that help *C. auris* to adapt to different host tissues. They also found orthologs for these genes that were believed to carry hexose, maltose, and permeases (amino acid permeases, sulfur permeases), which also help the fungi to adapt and live in their host. Other transcriptional regulators have also been recently found to be involved in adherence (Finkel et al., 2012).

The first step in biofilm formation process is surface adhesion, in which the *Candida* cell wall interacts with the host cell surfaces. This process involves a series of genes that encode several proteins, such as ALS adhesins, of which ALS1, ALS3, and ALS5 are implicated in the adhesion process; Eap1, regulated by the factor EFG1; HWP1, which promotes the fixation of *Candida*  cells to the host surface and is a potential pathogenicity factor (it is absent in *C. glabrata*); PGA10 and PBR1—it has been shown that when the PBR1 gene is deleted, the adhesion of *C. albicans* to blood cells decreases; EPA family of genes, of which EPA1, EPA6, and EPA7 are the main epithelial adhesins, and their deletion hinders or decreases adhesion to surfaces; AWP adhesins, whose expression is higher in biofilms than in plant cells (Orsi et al., 2014; Valotteau et al., 2019).

Inglis and Sherlock (2013) showed that the expression of these wall proteins is regulated by two main genes: BCR1 and TEC1. TEC1 regulates BCR1 via cell signaling cascades and is involved in yeast differentiation into hypha. EPAs (epithelial adhesin) are specific for *C. glabrata* and the genes encoding ALS proteins have not been observed in mucosa yet.

CKA2, BCR1, BUD2, RSR1, and HWP1 are the genes that modify adhesion and tissue damage, but not invasion, in the host. Other genes that positively affect adhesion and hyphal formation have been reported, including CHS2 (synthesizes chitin), SCS7 (involved in cell membrane sphingolipid formation), UBI4 (contributes to ubiquitination), and genes that participate in adhesion and filamentation. UME6, TEC1, and GAT2 are involved in subsequent biofilm formation (Modrzewska and Kurnatowski, 2015).

The importance of the adherence regulatory genes (Bcr1, Ace2, and Snf5) lies in the fact that they are necessary for biofilm formation *in vitro* (Guan et al., 2013; van Wijlick et al., 2016; Burgain et al.; 2019).

After the initial adhesion of round yeast cells to the host surface to form a basal layer, the next phase of biofilm establishment is the growth and proliferation of hyphal cells. During the C. albicans proliferation phase, it multiplies and forms a monolayer adhesion zone where filamentation occurs, with the hyphae leading to general stability and acting as a support for the different cell morphologies: yeast, pseudohypha, hypha, and other cells of microorganisms that might be a part of the biofilm (Chandra and Mukherjee, 2015). According to McCall et al. (2018) and Min et al. (2018), hyphal growth in C. albicans is dependent on the presence of TF (transcription factor) Ndt80, which is responsible for regulating a variety of processes, including sexual development, resistance to antifungals, fibrillation, virulence, and nutritional stress response, among others. Hence, C. albicans possesses three paralogs (genes of different species, which descends to a single gene by genetic duplication in evolution) of NDT80 (NDT80, RON1, and REP1), and each of them provides C. albicans with specific characteristics. NDT80 is involved in resistance under different stress conditions, as well as in hyphal growth, and RON1 deletion has shown to cause growth defects when C. albicans is grown in GlcNAc media.

Glazier et al. (2017) highlighted that biofilm maturation is regulated by several transcription factors in *C. albicans*, including BCR1, EFG1, TEC1, NDT80, ROB1, and BRG1. When any one of them is deleted, biofilms are not formed correctly. Other transcription factors, such as CZF1, GZF3, UME6, CPH2, and ACE2, are also shown to be involved in *C. parapsilosis* biofilm formation. Biofilm formation decreases dramatically if ACE2 is deleted, and it also regulates biofilm development in *C. albicans*; however, in *C. glabrata*, the ability to cause disease is increased when ACE2 is inactive.

Ng and Dean (2017) reported that the increased expression and maturation of sgRNA facilitates and improves the CRISPR/Cas9 mutagenesis in *C. albicans* by about 10 times. This may help us edit the *C. albicans* genome. Finally, REP1 has been shown to be negatively involved in the expression of the MDR1 drug flow pump, which provides resistance against several microorganisms (Chen et al., 2009).

In the maturation phase, biofilm development continues via morphological modifications, an increase in the number of cells, and extracellular polysaccharide matrix production. This extracellular matrix mediates adhesive and cohesive interactions, grants mechanical stability and integrity, allows cell dispersion, limits the diffusion of toxic substances and nutrients, and even acts as an enzyme system. However, the main function of the extracellular matrix is to protect the biofilm from the important clinical repercussions, acting as a physical barrier to protect the biofilm cells from environmental factors (Chandra and Mukherjee, 2015; Rodríguez-Cerdeira et al., 2019).

Apart from the composition of the matrix, several recent studies have focused on its genetic regulation. *C. albicans* biofilm matrix *in vivo* and *in vitro* models were biochemically analyzed by Flemming and Wingender (2010) to identify the macromolecular components. The composition was as follows: 55% proteins and their glycosylated counterparts, 25% carbohydrates, 15% lipids, and 5% non-coding DNA.  $\alpha$ -1,2-branched  $\alpha$ -1,6-mannans were the most frequent polysaccharides related to unbranched  $\alpha$ -1,6-glucans, forming a mannan-glucan complex on the matrix. However, they were a smaller proportion of extracellular matrix (ECM) components in *C. albicans* than  $\beta$ -1,3-glucan and  $\beta$ -1,6-glucan (Zarnowski et al., 2014).

Mitchell et al. (2015) and Dominguez et al. (2018) highlighted the importance of mannan and  $\beta$ -1,6-glucan in ECM formation. The elimination of proteins involved in ECM formation by deleting any one of the seven genes that governs the level of matrix mannan and  $\beta$ -1,6-glucan (ALG11, MNN9, MNN11, VAN1, MNN4-4, PMR1, and VRG4 for mannan production; BIG1 and KRE5 for  $\beta$ -1,6-glucan production) resulted in complete disappearance of the biofilm. In *C. parapsilosis*, the main function of Efg1 is to behave as a regulatory switch and participate in biofilm formation. Its absence leads to the production of an incomplete biofilm (Connolly et al., 2013).

In *C. albicans*, Cph1 acts as the terminal transcription factor of the MAP kinase pathway (Freire et al., 2018). This protein belongs to the family of the STE type transcription factor, *which is also present in other Candida* spp. (Bandara et al., 2013).

Brg1 controls the growth of hyphae in *C albicans*. When it is overexpressed, there is an increase in the expression of hyphaespecific genes, along with ALS3, HWP1, and ECE1 (Su et al., 2018). In *C. parapsilosis*, a homolog of Brg1 has been identified (Holland et al., 2014). According to Mendelsohn et al. (2017), the transcription factor Ume6, together with Nrg1 and Rfg1 decreases the expression of hypha specific genes ALS3, ECE1, and HWP1 in both *C. albicans* and *C. tropicalis*.

The cell surface proteins encoded by HYR1, ECE1, RBT5, ECM331, HWP1, ALS3, ALS1, and ALS9 genes are all regulated by Bcr1 gene. Upon overexpression of ALS3 in a bcr1/bcr1 cell, the biofilm formation phenotype was shown to be completely restored (Freire et al., 2018).

Vandeputte et al. (2012) identified that Rca1/Cst6 in *C. albicans* regulates hypha formation by facilitating the expression of GWP1, ECE1, HGC1, and ALS3 genes and the transcription factor Efg1. Cst6 is also a transcriptional controller of biofilm growth. It is a bZIP transcription factor, which belongs to the ATF/CREB family that inhibits the expression of the EPA6 gene and encodes an adhesion protein in the *C. glabrata* biofilm (Pohlers et al., 2017).

In *C. glabrata*, Leiva-Peláez et al. (2018) demonstrated that the expression of several related genes is subjected to subtelomeric silencing. HYR1, EPA1, EPA2, EPA3, EPA4, EPA5, EPA6, *and EPA7 genes of C. glabrata* undergo subtelomeric silencing due to their loci proximity to a telomere. The Sir complex (Sir2–Sir4),

Rap1, Rif1, yKu70, and yKu80, as well as the Swi/Snf complex are shown to be involved in regulating biofilm formation.

Deletion of the PMR1, KRE5, and FKS1 genes was associated with the increased susceptibility to fluconazole (Navarro-Arias et al., 2016; Tanaka et al., 2016; Jiang et al., 2018). Chowdhary et al. (2018) demonstrated that persistent cells cultured from the biofilm exhibit higher expression of CDR genes (Khosravi Rad et al., 2016). According to Feng et al. (2016), a set of isogenic *C. albicans* strains carrying single or double deletions in genes encoding efflux pumps ( $\Delta$ cdr1,  $\Delta$ cdr2,  $\Delta$ mdr1/drc2, and  $\Delta$ mdr1/cdr1) show antifungal resistance with paired strains. Tan et al. (2018) demonstrated that  $\beta$ -1,3-glucan has a crucial role in *Candida* biofilm formation and stress response in biofilmforming *Candida*. They also showed that  $\beta$ -1,3-glucanase might be useful as an anti-biofilm agent.

In contrast, there are two major regulators of ECM production: Rml1 and Zap1. It has been shown that Rm11 deletion leads to the reduction in matrix levels (Nett et al., 2011; Pierce et al., 2017). However, Zap1 deletion leads to an increase in matrix levels, which is due to the overexpression of the glucoamylases, Gca1 and Gca2, as these enzymes, together with other hydrolyzing enzymes, are important for biopolymer degradation (Gulati and Nobile, 2016). Previous studies revealed that  $\Delta$ zap1 mutant, which is defective in the expression of zinc regulator Zap1, enhances the accumulation of yeast cells in biofilms (Ganguly et al., 2011).

In the late or dispersal phase, unbound *Candida* cells scatter from the biofilm and attempt to colonize other surfaces. Thus, it is possible for the cells to initiate the formation of new biofilms or spread in the host tissues, which ultimately leads to the development of candidemia and disseminated invasive diseases. Dispersed cells exhibit strong adherence, capacity to form new biofilms, and virulence. This process depends on three identified transcriptional regulators: Pes1, Nrg1, and Ume6. The transcriptional regulators, Nrg1 and Ume6, are of significant importance as their overexpression is shown to increase the number of sprawling cells actively released from the biofilm (Araújo et al., 2017).

In the biofilm maturation phase, ECM production is also extremely important. The gene responsible for glucan synthesis is FKS1, which is involved in resistance to fluconazole in *C. albicans* and promotes biofilm maturation in the presence of high glucose levels in *C. parapsilosis*. RLM1 and ZAP1 are the other two regulatory genes involved in matrix formation in *C. albicans*. Some ZAP1 target genes are CSH1 and IFD6 (negative regulators), and GCA1, GCA2, and ADH5 (positive regulators) that are associated with  $\beta$ -1,3-glucan production from the biofilm matrix. RML1 is a positive regulator, and its deletion reduces the matrix levels significantly. Other glucan regulatory genes associated with matrix formation are BGL2, PHR1, XOG1, CCR4, GAS1, GAS2, and GAS5 (Araújo et al., 2017).

The last step in biofilm formation is the detachment and dispersion of cells or parts of the biofilm, which further get displaced and colonize other parts of the host. The lack of nutrients or changes in the environment can favor this phase, which then leads to the development of infection in other organs. For this step, there are three genes involved: PES1, UME6 and NRG1. NRG1 is a negative regulator of filamentation and UME6 is necessary for the extension of hyphae (Beitelshees et al., 2018).

The main genes implicated in the genetic control of biofilm formation in *Candida* spp. are collated in **Table 1**.

# **Proteomics of the Biofilms**

Biofilm associated proteins (55% of the biofilm dry weight) are mainly glycoproteins, glycolytic enzymes, and heat shock proteins. Around 565 different proteins have been identified, representing a total of 458 different biochemical activities (Thomas et al., 2006). Many of them are categorized as secretion signals, but most of these proteins do not have any such signal, indicating a non-canonical secretion pathway and/or protein increment occurring after cell death. In addition, host proteins including proteins related to the heme group and inflammatory and leukocyte-associated proteins, like hemoglobin, myeloperoxidase, C-reactive protein, and alarmin S100-A9 *have also been found in vivo* (Nett et al., 2015).

According to Hirota et al. (2017), the extracellular matrix of *C. albicans* is composed of extracellular DNA (eDNA), which has a crucial role in *Candida* biofilm formation and its structural integrity, and promotes the morphological transition from yeast to hyphal growth form during *C. albicans* biofilm development. The eDNA is mostly made up of random non-coding sequences and might also have an important role in antifungal resistance.

The adhesins of the fungal wall are modular proteins, and their precursors possess signal peptides to enter in the ER and GPI anchors. The mature proteins possess an N-terminal domain, which generally determines the ligand binding specificity of adhesins and is followed by a low complexity domain that in most cases contains internal tandem repeats. These internal tandem repeats have an important role in the adhesion of the fungal cells and exposure of the ligand-binding domains, which is modulated by the number of repeated copies, and consequently by the size variations of the adhesin-encoding genes identified in the clinical isolates (Willaert, 2018). According to Wagener et al. (2012), there are numerous phenotypic variations between the clinical isolates of *C. glabrata* in their ability to adhere to the abiotic surfaces for medical importance.

As high expression of heat shock proteins encourages Candida yeast-hyphae switch, which is an essential step in biofilm development, the expression of Hsp genes during C. albicans biofilm formation has been investigated previously (Becherelli et al., 2013). In contrast, heat shock protein 90 (Hsp 90) has also been shown to be involved in the dispersion process. The overexpression of the same leads to a decrease in the number of dispersed cells that are released and induction of the filamentation process. The authors recognized 226 C. albicans Hsp90 genetic interactors under planktonic conditions, of which 56 were identified to be implicated in transcriptional regulation. Six of these transcriptional regulators have previously been involved in biofilm development, proposing that the genetic interactors of Hsp90 found in planktonic conditions might have functional significance in biofilm formation. They further studied the relationship between Hsp90 and five of these transcription factor genetic interactors: BCR1, MIG1, TEC1, TUP1, and UPC2 (Diezmann et al., 2015).

#### **TABLE 1** | The main genes involved in biofilm formation in Candida spp.

### TABLE 1 | Continued

| Adhreion     ALS1     Fired at L. 2012; Hollard et al.,<br>2014     URL     URL     URL     URL       ALS3     Fired at L. 2012; Hollard et al.,<br>2014; Minchel et al., 2017;<br>Firen et al., 2018; Bat al., 2018     Bielim formation     AUTI Minchel 2017;<br>AUTI Minchel et al., 2017;<br>Firen et al., 2018; Bat al., 2018     Bielim formation     AUTI Minchel 2017;<br>AUTI Minchel et al., 2017       ALS5     Fired at al., 2018; Dirat and<br>Dordrow, 2012; Holland et al., 2018     Bielim formation     AUTI Minchel 2016;<br>AUTI Minchel et al., 2017;<br>Minchel                                                                                                                                                | Туре     | Gene           | References                                                                                                                                                 | Туре                | Gene            | References                                                                                                            |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|----------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| ALS3 Finder at a 1, 2012, Holland et al., 2017<br>BALS5 Finder at a 1, 2012, Holland et al., 2017<br>Free et al., 2018, Sud et al., 2018<br>BALS5 Finder at al., 2018, Junt at al., 2018<br>ALS5 Finder at al., 2018, Junt at al., 2018<br>ALS5 Finder at al., 2018, Junt at al., 2018<br>ALS5 Finder at al., 2018<br>ALS5 Finder at al., 2017<br>ALS5 Fin               | Adhesion | ALS1           | Finkel et al., 2012; Holland et al.,                                                                                                                       |                     | UBI4            | Valotteau et al., 2019                                                                                                |
| ALSS     Findel et al., 2012     Bottm tormation     AD45     Guidt and Noble 2016       ASL9     Hildund et al., 2014     ALC11     Microli et al., 2015       BOR1     Guiner et al., 2018, pings and<br>Shrindok, 2018, Holland et al.,<br>2016; Orse et al., 2019, indicate et al., 2016     Rest     Guint et al., 2017; Guint et al., 2017;<br>Guint et al., 2017; Marka et al., 2017; Guint et al., 2017;<br>Workers et al., 2018     Rest     Guint et al., 2017; Guint et al., 2017;<br>Guint et al., 2018;<br>Moltzweet al., 2019     GGA1     Note et al., 2017; Guint et al., 2017;<br>Moltzweet al., 2018       BUD2     Valotissue et al., 2019     GGA2     Note et al., 2017; Guint et al., 2019     Rest     Note et al., 2017; Guint et al., 2019       CKM2     Valotissue et al., 2019     FEG     Guint and Noble 2016     Noble, 2016       CM41     Guint and Noble 2016     FEG     Guint and Noble 2016       CKM2     Valotissue et al., 2019     Microli et al., 2016       ECM1     Guint and Noble 2016     Microli et al., 2016       ECM1     Orisi et al., 2014     FMN1     Microli et al., 2015       ECM3     Heilund et al., 2014     FMN1     Microli et al., 2015       ECM3     Orisi et al., 2014     FM1     Vandeputitiet et al., 2017       ECM3     Orisi et al., 2014     FM1     Vandeputitiet et al., 2017       ECM3     Orisi et al., 2014     FM1     Vandeputitiet et al., 2017 <td>ALS3</td> <td>2014<br/>Finkel et al., 2012; Holland et al.,<br/>2014; Mendelsohn et al., 2017;<br/>Freire et al., 2018: Su et al., 2018</td> <td></td> <td>UME6</td> <td>Ganguly et al., 2011; Gulati and<br/>Nobile, 2016; Su et al., 2018;<br/>Burgain et al., 2019; Valotteau<br/>et al., 2019</td>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |          | ALS3           | 2014<br>Finkel et al., 2012; Holland et al.,<br>2014; Mendelsohn et al., 2017;<br>Freire et al., 2018: Su et al., 2018                                     |                     | UME6            | Ganguly et al., 2011; Gulati and<br>Nobile, 2016; Su et al., 2018;<br>Burgain et al., 2019; Valotteau<br>et al., 2019 |
| AS.9     Holand et al., 2014     ALG11     Mitchel et al., 2015       AWF     Finde et al., 2013 highs and<br>sherolog, 2013 highs and<br>2016 (Agrand, et al., 2014)     Find     Galatit and kodal, 2016;<br>Maramo-Ansa et al., 2016;<br>Maramo-Ansa et al., 2016;<br>Maramo-Ansa et al., 2016;<br>Maramo-Ansa et al., 2017;<br>Maramo-Ansa                                                |          | ALS5           | Finkel et al., 2012                                                                                                                                        | Biofilm formation   | ADH5            | Gulati and Nobile, 2016                                                                                               |
| AVP     Pixel et al., 2012     BG1     Mitchell et al., 2015       BCR1     Guart al., 2016     Substrain et al., 2016     Substrain et al., 2016     Substrain et al., 2017       SUB Display et al., 2019     SCI al., 2017     Substrain et al., 2017     Substrain et al., 2017     Substrain et al., 2017       SUB Display et al., 2019     SCI al., 2017     SCI al., 2017     Sci al., 2017     Sci al., 2017       SUD2     Voltace et al., 2019     SCI al., 2017     Nett et al., 2017     Sci al., 2017       SUD2     Voltace et al., 2019     SCI al., 2017     Sci al., 2017     Sci al., 2017       CSP1     Calafi and Noble, 2016     sti al., 2017     Sci al., 2017     Sci al., 2017       CSP1     Calafi and Noble, 2016     sti al., 2017     Sci al., 2017     Sci al., 2017       CSP1     Calafi and Noble, 2016     sti al., 2017     MNN11     Mitchell et al., 2015       CSP1     Calafi and Noble, 2016     sti al., 2017     MNN11     Mitchell et al., 2015       CSP1     Calafi and Noble, 2016     sti al., 2017     MNN11     Mitchell et al., 2015       CSP1     Calafi and Noble, 2016     sti al., 2017     MNN9     Mitchell et al., 2015       CSP1     Calafi and Noble, 2016     sti al., 2017     Sti al., 2017     Sti al., 2017       CSCP1     Pickel et al., 2017                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |          | ASL9           | Holland et al., 2014                                                                                                                                       |                     | ALG11           | Mitchell et al., 2015                                                                                                 |
| BCR1     Guas et al., 2018; highs and<br>Steredox, 2018; Holland et al., 2019;<br>More even where et al., 2019;<br>More even where et al., 2019;<br>More even and Kurnatovski,<br>2018; Even and Kurnatovski,<br>2018; Even and Mobile, 2016     GCA1     Net et al., 2011; Guida t and<br>Nobile, 2016       BUD2     Valoteau et al., 2019;<br>Valoteau et al., 2019;<br>More even and Mobile, 2016     FDB     Guida and Mobile, 2016<br>More even and Mobile, 2016       CKN2     Valoteau et al., 2019;<br>Valoteau et al., 2016;<br>More even and Mobile, 2016     FDB     Guida and Mobile, 2016<br>More even and Mobile, 2016<br>More even and Mobile, 2016<br>More even and Mobile, 2016       CXP1     Burgain et al., 2017;<br>Freier et al., 2017;<br>Freier et al., 2016;<br>More et al., 2017;<br>Freier et al., 2016;<br>More et al., 2017;<br>Freier et al., 2016;<br>More et al., 2017;<br>Freier et al., 2017;<br>Freier et al., 2016;<br>More et al., 2017;<br>Freier et al., 2016;<br>More et al., 2017;<br>Freier et al., 2017;<br>Freier et al., 2017;<br>Freier et al., 2017;<br>Freier et al., 2016;<br>More et al., 2017;<br>Freier et al., 2016;<br>More et al., 2017;<br>Freier et al., 2019;<br>More et al., 2017;<br>Freier et al., 2019;<br>More et al., 2016;<br>More et al., 2017;<br>Freier et al., 2017;<br>Freier et al., 2017;<br>Freier et al., 2012;<br>More et al., 2016;<br>More et al., 2017;<br>Freier et al., 2017;<br>Freier et al., 2017;<br>More et al., 2016;<br>More et al., 2017;<br>Freier et                                                                                                                            |          | AWP            | Finkel et al., 2012                                                                                                                                        |                     | BIG1            | Mitchell et al., 2015                                                                                                 |
| 2016; Burgain et al., 2019;     Court     Notable, 2016       BUD2     Valotleau et al., 2019     CoCA2     Nett et al., 2011; Judati and Nobile, 2016       CHS2     Valotleau et al., 2019     IFD6     Guidati and Nobile, 2016       CKA2     Valotleau et al., 2019     IFD6     Guidati and Nobile, 2016       CKA2     Valotleau et al., 2019     IFD6     Guidati and Nobile, 2016       CKA2     Valotleau et al., 2019     IFD6     Guidati and Nobile, 2016       CKA2     Valotleau et al., 2016     Navero-Avise et al., 2015; Navero-Avise et al., 2015; Navero-Avise et al., 2016; Navero-Avise et al., 2017; Navero-Avise et al., 2016; Navero-Avise et al., 2017; Navero-Avise et al., 2012; Navero-Avise et al., 2015; Navise et al., 2014; Navero-Avise et al., 2015; Navise et al., 2015;                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |          | BCR1           | Guan et al., 2013; Inglis and<br>Sherlock, 2013; Holland et al.,<br>2014; Orsi et al., 2014;<br>Modrzewska and Kurnatowski,<br>2015; Marak and Dhanashree, |                     | FKS1            | Gulati and Nobile, 2016;<br>Navarro-Arias et al., 2016; Jiang<br>et al., 2018; Leiva-Peláez et al.,<br>2018           |
| BUD2     Valotteau et al., 2019     Noble, 2016       OH52     Valotteau et al., 2019     FG6     Guidat and Noble, 2016       OK42     Valotteau et al., 2019     FG6     Guidat and Noble, 2016       CSH1     Guidat and Noble, 2016     March 2017, Fraine et al., 2012     Novaro-Arias et al., 2017, Fraine et al., 2017, Fraine et al., 2017, Fraine et al., 2017, Fraine et al., 2017     MNN11     Mitchell et al., 2015       EOFI     Holland et al., 2014     MNN11     Mitchell et al., 2015     March 2016, Janamo-Arias et al., 2016, Janamo-Arias et al., 2016, Janamo-Arias et al., 2016       EOM1     Orsi et al., 2014     MNN9     Mitchell et al., 2015       EOM31     Holland et al., 2014     MNN9     Mitchell et al., 2015, March 2016, Janamo-Arias et al., 2017, Janamo, Janamo-Arias et al., 2017, Janamo,                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |          |                | 2018; Burgain et al., 2019;<br>Valotteau et al., 2019                                                                                                      |                     | GCA2            | Notile, 2016                                                                                                          |
| CH92       Valotteau et al., 2019       FD8       Guata and Nobile, 2016         CK42       Valotteau et al., 2019       KRE5       Mitchell et al., 2015;<br>Navare-Arias et al., 2015;<br>Navare-Arias et al., 2015;<br>Navare-Arias et al., 2017;<br>EAP1       MINN11       Mitchell et al., 2015;<br>MINN-44         ECF1       Holland et al., 2014; Mandelsohn<br>et al., 2014; Mandelsohn<br>et al., 2017; Frierie et al., 2018;<br>Su et al., 2014       MINN-44       Mitchell et al., 2015;<br>MINN-44         ECM1       Orsi et al., 2014<br>(Crist et al., 2014; Mitchell et al.,<br>2015; Mandelsohn et al., 2017;<br>Dominguez et al., 2014       PMR1       Mitchell et al., 2015;<br>Market et al., 2016; Lawa-Peléze et al.<br>2018         ECM1       Orsi et al., 2014, Mitchell et al.,<br>2015; Mandelsohn et al., 2017;<br>Dominguez et al., 2014       PMR1       Mitchell et al., 2015;<br>Market et al., 2012; Market<br>and Dinanstrue, 2018; Burgan<br>et al., 2019       PMR1       Mitchell et al., 2012<br>and Dinanstrue, 2018; Burgan<br>et al., 2019       PMP1       Vandeputte et al., 2012<br>and Dinanstrue, 2012; Market<br>and Dinanstrue, 2015; Market<br>and Dinanstrue, 2016; Market<br>and Dinanstrue, 2017; Chowdhan<br>et al., 2016; Market<br>and, 2                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |          | BUD2           | Valotteau et al., 2019                                                                                                                                     |                     | QUAL            | Nobile, 2016                                                                                                          |
| CKA2Valitheau et al., 2019KRE5Mitchell et al., 2015, UMACSH1Guigain et al., 2019net al., 2015, Laka-Peldaz et al., 2016net al., 2015, Laka-Peldaz et al., 2016EAP1Firkel et al., 2017, Freier et al., 2016; Su et al., 2016; Su et al., 2016MNNH -4Mitchell et al., 2015ECE1Holland et al., 2014MNNH -4Mitchell et al., 2015ECM1Orisi et al., 2014PMR1Mitchell et al., 2015; Juan-Peldaz et al., 2016; Juan-Peldaz et al., 2017; Juan-Peldaz et al., 2016; Juan-Peldaz et al., 2016; Juan-Peldaz et al., 2017; Juan-Peldaz et al., 2016; Juan-Peldaz et al., 2017; Juan-Peldaz et al., 2016; Juan-Peldaz et al., 2017; Juan-Peldaz et al., 2016; Juan-Peldaz et al., 2017Rif1Vandeputte et al., 2012EFA1Firkel et al., 2012; Vandeputte et al., 2012SIR ComplexVandeputte et al., 2012SIR ComplexVandeputte et al., 2012EFA3Vandeputte et al., 2012Vandeputte et al., 2012Vandeputte et al., 2015Vandeputte et al., 2015Vandeputte et al., 2015EFA4Vandeputte et al., 2012Vandeputte et al., 2012Vandeputte et al., 2015Vandeputte et al., 2015Vandeputte et al., 2015EFA4Vandeputte et al., 2017Vandeputte et al., 2017Vandeputte et al., 2017Vandeputte et al., 2017EFA4Vandeputte et al., 2017Vandeputte et al., 2017Vandeputte et al., 2017Vandeputte et al., 2017EFA5Firkel et al., 2017Vandeputte et al., 2017Vandeputte et                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |          | CHS2           | Valotteau et al., 2019                                                                                                                                     |                     | IFD6            | Gulati and Nobile. 2016                                                                                               |
| CSH1Guilati and Noble, 2016Navero-Arise et al., 2017; Leive-Peldez et al., 2018; Leive-Peldez et al., 2018;CZF1Burgain et al., 2017Number et al., 2017; Mendelsohn<br>et al., 2017; Freie et al., 2018;MNN11Mitchell et al., 2015ECE1Holland et al., 2014; Mendelsohn<br>et al., 2017; Freie et al., 2018;MNN14Mitchell et al., 2015ECM1Orie it al., 2014MNN96Mitchell et al., 2015;ECM2Holland et al., 2014Navero-Arias et al., 2016;ECM3Orie it al., 2014Navero-Arias et al., 2016;ECM3Orie it al., 2014; Mitchell et al., 2017;Navero-Arias et al., 2016;Doringuaz et al., 2018; Marak<br>and Dhanashnee, 2018; Burgain<br>et al., 2018; Vandeputte et al., 2017;RAP1Vandeputte et al., 2012EFA1Friekl et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2012; Vandeputte et al., 2017;SWS/SFVandeputte et al., 2012EFA3Vandeputte et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2016; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2017; Vandeputte et al., 2017SWS/SFVandeputte et al., 2017;EFA4Vandeputte et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2017; Chande al., 2015SWS/SFVandeputte et al., 2017;EFA4Vandeputte et al., 2017; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2016; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2017; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2016; Marak<br>and Chanashree,                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |          | CKA2           | Valotteau et al., 2019                                                                                                                                     |                     | KRE5            | Mitchell et al., 2015:                                                                                                |
| C2F1<br>EAP1Dergain et al., 2019Hinkel et al., 2017;<br>2018; lakes-Pelakez et al., 2018;<br>2018MNN11Mitchel et al., 2015;<br>2018ECE1<br>Build et al., 2014; Mindelischin<br>et al., 2017; Freine et al., 2018;<br>Su et al., 2014MNN94Mitchel et al., 2015;<br>MNN94Mitchel et al., 2016;<br>MNN94ECM1Orsi et al., 2014PME1<br>Mitchel et al., 2016;<br>MNN96Mitchel et al., 2016;<br>MNN96Mitchel et al., 2016;<br>MNN96ECM31Holland et al., 2014PME1<br>Mitchel et al., 2017;<br>Dominguaz et al., 2016; Mandelischin et al., 2017;<br>Dominguaz et al., 2016; Burgain<br>et al., 2017RP1<br>Vandeputte et al., 2012<br>Vandeputte et al., 2012<br>Vandeputte et al., 2012EPA1EFA1<br>et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2012SIR ComplexVandeputte et al., 2012<br>Vandeputte et al., 2012EPA2Vandeputte et al., 2012<br>Vandeputte et al., 2012Vandeputte et al., 2012<br>Vandeputte et al., 2012Vandeputte et al., 2012<br>Vandeputte et al., 2012EPA3Vandeputte et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2012; Freire et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>vandeputte et al., 2012; Freire et al., 2017<br>Vandeputte et al., 2016Vandeputte et al., 2016<br>Vandeputte<br>vandeputte<br>vandeputte<br>et al., 2016; Marak<br>and Dhanashnez, 2018; Min<br>et al., 2016EPA3Vandeputte et al., 2012; Freire et al., 2017; Min et al., 2016<br>Vandeputte<br>vandeputte<br>et al., 2014Morphogenesis<br>Vandeputte<br>et al., 2016POH<br>Vandeputte<br>et al., 20                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |          | CSH1           | Gulati and Nobile, 2016                                                                                                                                    |                     |                 | Navarro-Arias et al., 2016; Jiang                                                                                     |
| EAP1         Finkel et al., 2012         2018           ECE1         Holland et al., 2014, Mandelschn<br>su et al., 2018, Su et al., 2018,<br>Su et al., 2018         MNN14         Mitchell et al., 2015           ECM1         Orsi et al., 2014         MNN9         Mitchell et al., 2015,<br>Navarro-Andes et al., 2015,<br>Navarro-Andes et al., 2016, Jan<br>et al., 2016, Jan et al., 2017,<br>Dorninguze et al., 2014, Mitchell et al., 2017,<br>Dorninguze et al., 2018, Marak<br>and Dhanashnee, 2018, Burgain<br>et al., 2019         PAR1         Vandeputte et al., 2012,<br>Navarro-Andes et al., 2012,<br>Dorninguze et al., 2012, Warak<br>and Dhanashnee, 2018, Burgain<br>et al., 2019         PAR1         Vandeputte et al., 2012,<br>2017           EPA1         EFA2         Vandeputte et al., 2012, Vandeputte<br>et al., 2019         PAP1         Vandeputte et al., 2012,<br>2017           EPA2         Vandeputte et al., 2012, Vandeputte<br>et al., 2019         SiR Complex         Vandeputte et al., 2012           EPA3         Vandeputte et al., 2012, Vandeputte<br>et al., 2012         Vandeputte et al., 2012         Vandeputte et al., 2012           EPA4         Vandeputte et al., 2012         Visit Vi                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        |          | CZF1           | Burgain et al., 2019                                                                                                                                       |                     |                 | et al., 2018; Leiva-Peláez et al.,                                                                                    |
| ECE1     Holand et al., 2014; Mandeschn<br>et al., 2015; Freire et al., 2018;<br>Su et al., 2018     MNN11     Mitchell et al., 2015       ECM1     Orsi et al., 2014     MNN9     Mitchell et al., 2015       ECM31     Holand et al., 2014     MNN9     Mitchell et al., 2015;<br>MNN9       ECM31     Holand et al., 2014     Mitchell et al., 2016;<br>Mitchell et al., 2017;<br>Dorniguze et al., 2018; Marka<br>and Dhanashree, 2018; Burgain<br>et al., 2019     FAP1     Vandeputte et al., 2012       EPA1     Finkel et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2012     FMN1     Feng et al., 2016; Marka<br>and Dhanashree, 2018; Burgain<br>et al., 2019     FM11     Vandeputte et al., 2012       EPA1     Finkel et al., 2012, Vandeputte<br>et al., 2012     FM1     Vandeputte et al., 2012     Vandeputte et al., 2012       EPA2     Vandeputte et al., 2012     Vandeputte et al., 2012     Vandeputte et al., 2012     Vandeputte et al., 2012       EPA3     Vandeputte et al., 2012     VRVN     Vandeputte et al., 2012     VRVN     Vandeputte et al., 2012       EPA4     Vandeputte et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2014; Freire et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2014     Vandeputte et al., 2012     VRVN     Vandeputte et al., 2016; Marka<br>and Dhanashree, 2018; Mark<br>and Dhanashree, 2018; Marka<br>and Dhanashree, 2017; Chowdha<br>et al., 2016 <td></td> <td>EAP1</td> <td>Finkel et al., 2012</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>2018</td>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |          | EAP1           | Finkel et al., 2012                                                                                                                                        |                     |                 | 2018                                                                                                                  |
| Sue tal., 2018MNN9Mitchell et al., 2015ECM331Holland et al., 2014PMR1Mitchell et al., 2015;<br>Navaro-Akas et al., 2016; Lian et al., 2017;<br>Lovar-Peláze et al., 2018; Levar-Peláze et al., 2018;<br>Dominguez et al., 2018; Marak<br>and Dhanashree, 2018; Burgain<br>et al., 2019RAP1Vandeputte et al., 2012<br>2018EFA1Finkel et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2012RIF1Vandeputte et al., 2012<br>2017SIR ComplexVandeputte et al., 2012<br>2017EPA1Finkel et al., 2012SIR ComplexVandeputte et al., 2012Vandeputte et al., 2012<br>2017Vandeputte et al., 2012EPA2Vandeputte et al., 2012Vandeputte et al., 2012Vandeputte et al., 2012Vandeputte et al., 2012EPA3Vandeputte et al., 2012Vandeputte et al., 2012Vandeputte et al., 2012Vandeputte et al., 2012EPA3Vandeputte et al., 2012Vandeputte et al., 2012Vandeputte et al., 2012Vandeputte et al., 2012EPA4Vandeputte et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2014; Freire et al., 2017WTG80Vandeputte et al., 2016; Marak<br>and Dhanashree, 2018; Min<br>et al., 2018; Marak<br>and Dhanashree, 2018; Min<br>et al., 2014; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2014; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2017; Chowdha<br>et al., 2014HGC1Mondelsohn et al., 2012; Holland et al., 2014CDR2Pohiers et al., 2017; Chowdha<br>et al., 2018<                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |          | ECE1           | Holland et al., 2014; Mendelsohn<br>et al., 2017; Freire et al., 2018;                                                                                     |                     | MNN11<br>MNN4-4 | Mitchell et al., 2015<br>Mitchell et al., 2015                                                                        |
| ECM1Oral et al., 2014PMR1Mitchell et al., 2015;<br>Navaro-Arise et al., 2016; Jan et al., 2016; Jan et al., 2016; Jan et al., 2017;<br>Dorninguze et al., 2018; Marka<br>and Dhanashree, 2018; Burgain<br>et al., 2019PMR1Mitchell et al., 2012;<br>2018EFA1Finkel et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2012RM11Feng et al., 2016; Tan et al.,<br>2017Finkel et al., 2012;<br>2018SIR ComplexVandeputte et al., 2012EFA1Finkel et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2012SIR ComplexVandeputte et al., 2012SIR ComplexEFA3Vandeputte et al., 2012SWISNFVandeputte et al., 2012VRIVEFA4Vandeputte et al., 2012VRIG4Mitchell et al., 2012EFA3Vandeputte et al., 2012VRIG4Mitchell et al., 2015EFA4Vandeputte et al., 2012VRIG4Mitchell et al., 2015EFA5Vandeputte et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>2018VRIG70Vandeputte et al., 2012EFA4Vandeputte et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>2018VRICN0Vandeputte et al., 2013EFA5Vandeputte et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>2018VRICN0Vandeputte et al., 2016; Marak<br>and Dhanashree, 2016; Min<br>et al., 2016; Min<br>et al., 2016; Min<br>et al., 2016; Min<br>et al., 2017; Min et al., 2018EFA7Finkel et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2014; Freire et al., 2015;<br>transporter genesRCN1Min et al., 2016; Min<br>et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018HVP1Finkel et al., 2012; Holland et al.,<br>2014; Freire et al., 2015;<br>transporter genesCDR1                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                      |          |                | Su et al., 2018                                                                                                                                            |                     | MNN9            | Mitchell et al., 2015                                                                                                 |
| ECM331 Holland et al., 2014<br>FFG1 Orsi et al., 2014; Mitchell et al.,<br>2015; Mendelson et al., 2017;<br>Dominguez et al., 2018; Marak<br>and Dbnanshree, 2018; Burgain<br>et al., 2019<br>EFA1 Finkel et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2012<br>EFA2 Vandeputte et al., 2012<br>EFA3 Vandeputte et al., 2012<br>EFA3 Vandeputte et al., 2012<br>EFA4 Vandeputte et al., 2012<br>EFA5 Vandeputte et al., 2012<br>EFA5 Vandeputte et al., 2012<br>EFA5 Vandeputte et al., 2012<br>EFA6 Finkel et al., 2012<br>EFA7 Finkel et al., 2014<br>HGC1 Mendelsohn et al., 2017<br>HWP1 Finkel et al., 2018<br>BF15 Holland et al., 2017<br>BF15 Holland et al., 2018<br>BF15 Holland et al., 2019<br>Finkel e |          | ECM1           | Orsi et al., 2014                                                                                                                                          |                     | PMR1            | Mitchell et al., 2015;                                                                                                |
| 2015; Mendalsonn et al., 2017;<br>and Dhanashree, 2018; BurgainRAP1Vandeputte et al., 2012<br>and Dhanashree, 2018; BurgainRAP1Vandeputte et al., 2012<br>and Dhanashree, 2018; BurgainEPA1Finkel et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2012SIR ComplexVandeputte et al., 2012<br>SW/SNFVandeputte et al., 2012<br>Vandeputte et al., 2012EPA2Vandeputte et al., 2012SIR ComplexVandeputte et al., 2012<br>VAN1Witchell et al., 2012EPA3Vandeputte et al., 2012VRG4Witchell et al., 2012<br>VRU70Vandeputte et al., 2012EPA4Vandeputte et al., 2012, Freire et al.,<br>2018VRG4Witchell et al., 2012<br>VRU70Vandeputte et al., 2012<br>Vandeputte et al., 2012EPA5Vandeputte et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2012; Freire et al.,<br>2018MorphogenesisNTD80<br>Vandeputte et al., 2012<br>VRU70GAT2Valoteau et al., 2017<br>Hrkel et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2012; Holland et al.,<br>2014MorphogenesisNTD80<br>Vandeputte et al., 2017, Min et al.,<br>2018, Min<br>et al., 2018; Min<br>et al., 2017, Min et al.,<br>2018HVP1Finkel et al., 2012; Holland et al.,<br>2014Oigopeptide<br>transporter genesOPTNi et al., 2017, Chowdhaa<br>et al., 2018HVR1Vandeputte et al., 2014COR2Pohlers et al., 2017, Chowdhaa<br>et al., 2013COR2Pohlers et al., 2017, Chowdhaa<br>et al., 2013HVR1Vandeputte et al., 2012Vandeputte et al., 2014COR2Pohlers et al., 2017, Chowdhaa<br>et al., 2018HVP1Finkel et al., 2012Vandeputte et al., 2012CoR2Pohlers et al., 2017                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |          | ECM331<br>EFG1 | Holland et al., 2014<br>Orsi et al., 2014; Mitchell et al.,                                                                                                |                     |                 | Navarro-Arias et al., 2016; Jiang<br>et al., 2018; Leiva-Peláez et al.,<br>2018                                       |
| and Dhanashree, 2018; Burgain<br>et al., 2019RIF1Vandeputte et al., 2012<br>Feng et al., 2016; Tan et al.,<br>2017EPA1Finkel et al., 2012SIR ComplexVandeputte et al., 2012<br>Vandeputte et al., 2012SIR ComplexVandeputte et al., 2012<br>Vandeputte et al., 2012EPA2Vandeputte et al., 2012SW/SNFVandeputte et al., 2012<br>Vandeputte et al., 2012SW/SNFVandeputte et al., 2012EPA3Vandeputte et al., 2012Vandeputte et al., 2012<br>Vandeputte et al., 2012EPA4Vandeputte et al., 2012; Freire et al.,<br>2018VRU70Vandeputte et al., 2012<br>Vandeputte et al., 2012EPA6Finkel et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2012Vandeputte et al., 2012<br>Vandeputte et al., 2012Vandeputte et al., 2016<br>Vandeputte et al., 2016EPA7Finkel et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2014MorphogenesisNTD80<br>van Wijkk et al., 2017, Min et al.,<br>2018GA72Vandteau et al., 2017<br>Vandteau et al., 2014; Freire et al., 2017; Holland et al.,<br>2014; Freire et al., 2017; Holland et al.,<br>2014; Freire et al., 2012; Holland et al.,<br>2014; Freire et al., 2012; Holland et al.,<br>2014; Freire et al., 2012; Holland et al.,<br>2014; Freire et al., 2016; Vandteau<br>et al., 2018Pohlers et al., 2017; Chowdha<br>et al., 2018HWP1Finkel et al., 2012Vandteau et al., 2014<br>et al., 2014; Freire et al., 2017; Chowdha<br>et al., 2018Pohlers et al., 2017, Chowdha<br>et al., 2018PGA10Finkel et al., 2014<br>ranscriptionalCDR1<                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |          |                | 2015; Mendelsonn et al., 2017;<br>Dominguez et al., 2018; Marak                                                                                            |                     | RAP1            | Vandeputte et al., 2012                                                                                               |
| EPA1Finklel et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2012Finklel et al., 2012; Vandeputte et al., 2012SIR ComplexVandeputte et al., 2012EPA2Vandeputte et al., 2012SW/SNFVandeputte et al., 2012Vandeputte et al., 2012VAN1Mitchell et al., 2012EPA3Vandeputte et al., 2012VRQ4Mitchell et al., 2015Vandeputte et al., 2015Vandeputte et al., 2015EPA4Vandeputte et al., 2012; Freire et al., 2012; Freire et al., 2012; Freire et al., 2012; VRU70Vandeputte et al., 2012VRU70Vandeputte et al., 2012EPA6Finklel et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2012MorphogenesisNTD80van Wijlick et al., 2012GAT2Valotteau et al., 2012MorphogenesisNTD80van Wijlick et al., 2016; Marak<br>and Dhanashree, 2018; Min<br>et al., 2018; Min et al., 2016HWP1Finklel et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2012; Holland et al.,<br>2014; Freire et al., 2012; Holland et al.,<br>2014; Freire et al., 2012; Holland<br>et al., 2014Oligopeptide<br>transporter genesOPTNi et al., 2018HYR1Vandeputte et al., 2012; Holland<br>et al., 2014Finkle et al., 2012; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018Oligopeptide<br>transporter genesCDR2<br>resistancePohlers et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018PBR1Finkle et al., 2012Valotteau et al., 2014MDR1<br>transporter genesGlazier et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018PBR1Finkle et al., 2012Valotteau et al., 2014MDR1Glazier et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018PBR1Finkle et al., 2012Valotteau et al., 2014 <t< td=""><td></td><td></td><td>and Dhanashree, 2018; Burgain<br/>et al. 2019</td><td></td><td>RIF1</td><td>Vandeputte et al., 2012</td></t<>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |          |                | and Dhanashree, 2018; Burgain<br>et al. 2019                                                                                                               |                     | RIF1            | Vandeputte et al., 2012                                                                                               |
| <ul> <li>Brancomplex</li> <li>Brancomplex</li> <li>Brancomplex</li> <li>Vandeputte et al., 2012</li> <li>SWUSNF</li> <li>Vandeputte et al., 2012</li> <li>SWUSNF</li> <li>Vandeputte et al., 2012</li> <li>VAN1</li> <li>Mitchell et al., 2015</li> <li>Mitchell et al., 2015</li> <li>PA4</li> <li>Vandeputte et al., 2012</li> <li>VRG4</li> <li>Mitchell et al., 2012</li> <li>VRU70</li> <li>Vandeputte et al., 2012</li> <li>VRU80</li> <li>Vandeputte et al., 2017</li> <li>Morphogenesis</li> <li>NTD80</li> <li>van Wijick et al., 2017</li> <li>Kesitance</li> <li>CDR1</li> <li>Pohers et al., 2017; Chowdhar et al., 2018</li> <li>Valotteau et al., 2019</li> <li>Franscriptional</li> <li>ACE2</li> <li>Guar et al., 2017</li> <li>Chowdrawska, and Kurnatowski, 2015; Nargares</li> <li>Valotteau et al., 2019</li> <li>Transcriptional</li> <li>ACE2</li> <li>Guar et al., 2013; Inglis and Sherlock, 2013; Modrzewska and Kurnatowski, 2015; Valotteau et al., 2016</li> <li>Valotteau et al., 2016</li> <li>Valotteau et al., 2016</li> <li>Valotteau et al., 2016</li> <li>Valotteau et al., 2016</li> </ul>                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |          | EPA1           | Finkel et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al. 2012                                                                                                             |                     |                 | 2017                                                                                                                  |
| EPA3Vandeputte et al., 2012SW/SNPVandeputte et al., 2012EPA4Vandeputte et al., 2012VAN1Mitchell et al., 2015EPA4Vandeputte et al., 2012VRG4Mitchell et al., 2015EPA5Vandeputte et al., 2012; Freire et al., 2012YKU70Vandeputte et al., 2012EPA6Finkel et al., 2012; Freire et al., 2012; Vandeputte et al., 2012; Vandeputte et al., 2012; Vandeputte et al., 2012VRU80Vandeputte et al., 2012EPA7Finkel et al., 2012; Vandeputte et al., 2012; Vandeputte et al., 2014Vandeputte et al., 2012; Vandeputte et al., 2017; Min et al., 2018; Burgain et al., 2017Vandeputte et al., 2017; Min et al., 2018; Burgain et al., 2017GAT2Valotteau et al., 2012; Holland et al., 2017REP1Glazer et al., 2018; Burgain et al., 20172018HWP1Finkel et al., 2012; Holland et al., 2019Oligopeptide<br>transporter genesOPTNi et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018HYR1Vandeputte et al., 2012; Holland<br>et al., 2014CDR2Pohlers et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018PBR1Finkel et al., 2012; Holland<br>et al., 2014CDR2Pohlers et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018PGA10Finkel et al., 2014MDR1Glazier et al., 2017PGS13Valotteau et al., 2019Transcriptional<br>regulatory genesACE2Guar et al., 2013; Modrzewska<br>and Kurnatowski, 2015; Van Wijick et al., 2016Guan et al., 2013; Modrzewska<br>and Kurnatowski, 2015; Burga<br>et al., 2016FS14Valotteau et al., 2010Transcriptional<br>regulatory genesACE2Guan et al., 2014Va                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |          | EPA2           | Vandeputte et al., 2012                                                                                                                                    |                     | SIR Complex     | Vandeputte et al., 2012                                                                                               |
| LinkCandeputte et al., 2012VAN1Mitchell et al., 2015EPA4Vandeputte et al., 2012VRG4Mitchell et al., 2015EPA5Vandeputte et al., 2012; Freire et al.,<br>2018YKU70Vandeputte et al., 2012EPA6Finkel et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2012MorphogenesisNTD80GAT2Valotteau et al., 2019REP1Glazier et al., 2018; Burgain et al., 2017<br>2018REP1Glazier et al., 2017; Min et al., 2018HGC1Mendelsohn et al., 2012; Holland et al.,<br>2014; Freire et al., 2012; Holland<br>et al., 2013Oligopeptide<br>transporter genesOPTNi et al., 2017<br>Pohlers et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018HYR1Vandeputte et al., 2012CDR2Pohlers et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018CDR2Pohlers et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018PBR1Finkel et al., 2012CDR2Pohlers et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018TPO1_2Pohlers et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018PBR1Finkel et al., 2014TranscriptionalMDR1Glazier et al., 2017<br>et al., 2018PGS12Valotteau et al., 2019Transcriptional<br>regulatory genesACE2Guan et al., 2016<br>et al., 2019SNF5Inglis and Sherlock, 2013;<br>Modrzewska<br>2015; van Wijlick et al., 2016Transcriptional<br>regulatory genesACE2Guan et al., 2019<br>et al., 2019                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |          | EPA3           | Vandeputte et al. 2012                                                                                                                                     |                     | SVVI/SINF       | Vandeputte et al., 2012                                                                                               |
| EPASVandeputte et al., 2012VRQ4Mitchell et al., 2013EPASFinkel et al., 2012; Freire et al.,<br>2018YKU70Vandeputte et al., 2012EPAGFinkel et al., 2012; Yandeputte<br>et al., 2012MorphogenesisNTD80van Wijlick et al., 2016; Marak<br>and Dhanashree, 2018; Min<br>et al., 2012GAT2Valotteau et al., 2019REP1Glazier et al., 2017; Min et al.,<br>2018Glazier et al., 2017; Min et al.,<br>2018HWP1Finkel et al., 2012; Holland et al.,<br>2014; Freire et al., 2014; Su<br>et al., 2014; Valotteau et al., 2019OPTNi et al., 2018HWP1Finkel et al., 2012; Holland et al.,<br>2018; Valotteau et al., 2014Oligopeptide<br>transporter genesOPTNi et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018HYR1Vandeputte et al., 2012; Holland<br>et al., 2014CDR2Pohlers et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018PBR1Finkel et al., 2012CDR1Pohlers et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018PGA10Finkel et al., 2014MDR1Glazier et al., 2017RSR1Valotteau et al., 2019Transcriptional<br>regulatory genesACE2Guan et al., 2017SCS7Valotteau et al., 2019Transcriptional<br>regulatory genesACE2Guan et al., 2013; Inglis and<br>Sherlock, 2013; Modrzewska<br>and Kurnatowski,<br>2015; van Wijlick et al., 2010SPGI 2Cittai erd Machine 2016                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         |          | EPA4           | Vandeputte et al., 2012                                                                                                                                    |                     | VAIN I          | Mitchell et al., 2015                                                                                                 |
| ErrorFinkel et al., 2012; Freire et al.,<br>2018YKU70Vandeputte et al., 2012EPA6Finkel et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2012YKU80Vandeputte et al., 2012GAT2Finkel et al., 2019MorphogenesisNTD80van Wijlick et al., 2016; Marak<br>and Dhanashree, 2018; Min<br>et al., 2018; Burgain et al., 2017GAT2Valotteau et al., 2019REP1Glazier et al., 2017; Min et al.,<br>2018HWP1Finkel et al., 2012; Holland et al.,<br>2014; Freire et al., 2018; Su<br>et al., 2018; Valotteau et al., 2019OPTNi et al., 2018HWP1Finkel et al., 2012; Holland<br>et al., 2014; Freire et al., 2012; Holland<br>et al., 2018; Valotteau et al., 2019OPTNi et al., 2017HYR1Vandeputte et al., 2012; Holland<br>et al., 2014Pohlers et al., 2017OPTNi et al., 2017HYR1Vandeputte et al., 2012; Holland<br>et al., 2014ResistanceCDR1Pohlers et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018PBR1Finkel et al., 2012CDR2Pohlers et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018CDR2Pohlers et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018PBR1Valotteau et al., 2014MDR1Glazier et al., 2017Glazier et al., 2017SCS7Valotteau et al., 2019Transcriptional<br>regulatory genesACE2Guan et al., 2013; Inglis and<br>Sherlock, 2013; Inglis and<br>Sherlock, 2013; Inglis and<br>Sherlock, 2013; Modrzewska<br>and Kurnatowski,<br>2015; van Wijkk et al., 2016FCI 2Guan et al., 2016                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |          | EPA5           | Vandeputte et al., 2012                                                                                                                                    |                     | VRG4            | Mitchell et al., 2015                                                                                                 |
| 2018YK080Vandeputte<br>van Wijitk et al., 2012EPA7Finkel et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2012MorphogenesisNTD80van Wijitk et al., 2016; Marak<br>and Dhanashree, 2018; Min<br>et al., 2018; Burgain et al., 2017GAT2Valotteau et al., 2019REP1Glazier et al., 2017; Min et al.,<br>2018Glazier et al., 2017; Min et al.,<br>2018HWP1Finkel et al., 2012; Holland et al.,<br>2014; Freire et al., 2012; Holland et al.,<br>2014; Freire et al., 2018; Su<br>et al., 2018; Valotteau et al., 2019Oligopeptide<br>transporter genesOPTNi et al., 2018HYR1Vandeputte et al., 2012Oligopeptide<br>transporter genesOPTNi et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018Pohlers et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018PBR1Finkel et al., 2012CDR2Pohlers et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018PGA10Finkel et al., 2012CDR2Pohlers et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018PGS11Valotteau et al., 2019Transcriptional<br>regulatory genesACE2Guan et al., 2013; Inglis and<br>Sherlock, 2013; Modrzewska<br>and Kurnatowski,<br>2015; van Wijitke et al., 2016PGI 2Culvati ard Mihailo 2014                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |          | EPA6           | Finkel et al., 2012: Freire et al.,                                                                                                                        |                     | YKU70           | vandeputte et al., 2012                                                                                               |
| EPA7Finkel et al., 2012; Vandeputte<br>et al., 2012MorphogenessNTDB0Van Wijick et al., 2016; Marak<br>and Dhashree, 2018; Min<br>et al., 2018; Burgain et al., 2016GAT2Valotteau et al., 2019REP1Glazier et al., 2017; Min et al.,<br>2018Glazier et al., 2017; Min et al.,<br>2018HGC1Mendelsohn et al., 2012; Holland et al.,<br>2014; Freire et al., 2018; Valotteau et al., 2018; Valotteau et al., 2018;<br>et al., 2018; Valotteau et al., 2019Rep1Glazier et al., 2018HYR1Vandeputte et al., 2012; Holland<br>et al., 2018; Valotteau et al., 2019Oligopeptide<br>transporter genesOPTNi et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018PBR1Finkel et al., 2012ResistanceCDR2Pohlers et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018CDR2Pohlers et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018PGA10Finkel et al., 2012TranscriptionalACE2Guan et al., 2017RSR1Valotteau et al., 2019Transcriptional<br>regulatory genesACE2Guan et al., 2013; Modrzewska<br>and Kurnatowski,<br>and Kurnatowski,<br>a015; Wan Wijlick et al., 2010FC1Valotteau et al., 2010Feg1 2Chilti and Mubils 2016                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |          |                | 2018                                                                                                                                                       | Maria la successión | YKU80           | Vandeputte et al., 2012                                                                                               |
| GAT2Valotteau et al., 2019REP1Glazier et al., 2017; Min et al.,<br>2018HGC1Mendelsohn et al., 2017Finkel et al., 2012; Holland et al.,<br>2014; Freire et al., 2018; Su<br>et al., 2018; Valotteau et al., 2019Oligopeptide<br>transporter genesRON1Min et al., 2018HWP1Finkel et al., 2018; Valotteau et al., 2019;<br>et al., 2018; Valotteau et al., 2012; Holland<br>et al., 2014; Freire et al., 2012; Holland<br>et al., 2014Oligopeptide<br>transporter genesOPTNi et al., 2009HYR1Vandeputte et al., 2012; Holland<br>et al., 2014ResistanceCDR1Pohlers et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018PBR1Finkel et al., 2012CDR2Pohlers et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018CDR2Pohlers et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018PGA10Finkel et al., 2012MDR1Glazier et al., 2017RSR1Valotteau et al., 2019Transcriptional<br>regulatory genesACE2Guan et al., 2013; Inglis and<br>Sherlock, 2013; Modrzewska<br>and Kurnatowski,<br>2015; van Wijlick et al., 2016ACE2Guan et al., 2013; Modrzewska<br>and Kurnatowski, 2015; Burgal<br>et al., 2019                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |          | EPA7           | Finkel et al., 2012; Vandeputte et al., 2012                                                                                                               | worphogenesis       | NTD80           | and Dhanashree, 2018; Min<br>et al., 2018: Burgain et al., 2019                                                       |
| HochMethodsoftinitet al., 20172018HWP1Finkel et al., 2012; Holland et al.,<br>2014; Freire et al., 2018; Su<br>et al., 2018; Valotteau et al., 2019Oligopeptide<br>transporter genesOPTNi et al., 2009HYR1Vandeputte et al., 2012; Holland<br>et al., 2014Vandeputte et al., 2012; Holland<br>et al., 2014Oligopeptide<br>transporter genesOPTNi et al., 2007; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018PBR1Finkel et al., 2012CDR2Pohlers et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018CDR2Pohlers et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018PGA10Finkel et al., 2012CDR2Pohlers et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018MDR1Glazier et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018RBT5Holland et al., 2014MDR1Glazier et al., 2017SCS7Valotteau et al., 2019TranscriptionalACE2Guan et al., 2013; Inglis and<br>Sherlock, 2013; Modrzewska<br>and Kurnatowski,<br>2015; van Wijlick et al., 2016SCS2Guan et al., 2013; Modrzewska<br>and Kurnatowski, 2015; Burgai<br>et al., 2019TEC1Valotteau et al., 2010Finkel et al., 2010SCS2Guan et al., 2016                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                 |          | GAT2           | Valotteau et al., 2019                                                                                                                                     |                     | REP1            | Glazier et al., 2017; Min et al.,                                                                                     |
| HWF1Hinde et al., 2012, Hollaid et al.,<br>2014; Freire et al., 2018; Su<br>et al., 2018; Valotteau et al., 2019Oligopeptide<br>transporter genesOPTNi et al., 2009HYR1Vandeputte et al., 2012; Holland<br>et al., 2014Oligopeptide<br>transporter genesOPTNi et al., 2009HYR1Vandeputte et al., 2012; Holland<br>et al., 2014ResistanceCDR1Pohlers et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018PBR1Finkel et al., 2012CDR2Pohlers et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018Pohlers et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018PGA10Finkel et al., 2012MDR1Glazier et al., 2017PGA10Finkel et al., 2014MDR1Glazier et al., 2017RSR1Valotteau et al., 2019Transcriptional<br>regulatory genesACE2Guan et al., 2013; Modrzewska<br>and Kurnatowski,<br>2015; van Wijlick et al., 2016TEC1Valotteau et al., 2010Field al.CDR2Guan et al., 2019                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |          |                | Finkel et al., 2012: Helland et al.                                                                                                                        |                     | DONK            | 2018                                                                                                                  |
| HYR1Vandeputte et al., 2012; Holland<br>et al., 2014ResistanceCDR1Pohlers et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018PBR1Finkel et al., 2012CDR2Pohlers et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018Pohlers et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018PGA10Finkel et al., 2012CDR2Pohlers et al., 2017; Chowdhar<br>et al., 2018RBT5Holland et al., 2014MDR1Glazier et al., 2017RSR1Valotteau et al., 2019TronscriptionalACE2Guan et al., 2013; Inglis and<br>Sherlock, 2013; Modrzewska<br>and Kurnatowski,<br>2015; van Wijlick et al., 2016SOLE2Guan et al., 2016                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                            |          | TIVVET         | 2014; Freire et al., 2018; Su<br>et al., 2018; Valotteau et al., 2019                                                                                      | Oligopeptide        | OPT             | Nin et al., 2008<br>Ni et al., 2009                                                                                   |
| PBR1     Finkel et al., 2012     CDR2     Pohlers et al., 2017; Chowdhar et al., 2017; Chowdhar et al., 2018       PGA10     Finkel et al., 2012     MDR1     Glazier et al., 2017       RBT5     Holland et al., 2014     MDR1     Glazier et al., 2017       RSR1     Valotteau et al., 2019     Transcriptional     ACE2     Guan et al., 2013; Inglis and       SNF5     Inglis and Sherlock, 2013; regulatory genes     Sherlock, 2013; Modrzewska and Kurnatowski, 2015; Burgai et al., 2019     Transcriptional     ACE2       TEC1     Valotteau et al., 2010     FCI 2     Guan et al., 2016     Comparison                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |          | HYR1           | Vandeputte et al., 2012; Holland et al., 2014                                                                                                              | Resistance          | CDR1            | Pohlers et al., 2017; Chowdhary et al. 2018                                                                           |
| PGA10     Finkel et al., 2012     et al., 2018       RBT5     Holland et al., 2014     MDR1     Glazier et al., 2017       RSR1     Valotteau et al., 2019     TPO1_2     Pohlers et al., 2017       SCS7     Valotteau et al., 2019     Transcriptional     ACE2     Guan et al., 2013; Inglis and       SNF5     Inglis and Sherlock, 2013; regulatory genes     Sherlock, 2013; Modrzewska and Kurnatowski, 2015; Burgai et al., 2019       TEC1     Valotteau et al., 2010     FCI 2     Guan et al., 2016                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   |          | PBR1           | Finkel et al., 2012                                                                                                                                        |                     | CDR2            | Poblers et al. 2017: Chowdhary                                                                                        |
| RBT5     Holland et al., 2014     MDR1     Glazier et al., 2017       RSR1     Valotteau et al., 2019     TPO1_2     Pohlers et al., 2017       SCS7     Valotteau et al., 2019     Transcriptional     ACE2     Guan et al., 2013; Inglis and Sherlock, 2013; regulatory genes       SNF5     Inglis and Sherlock, 2013; regulatory genes     Sherlock, 2013; Modrzewska and Kurnatowski, 2015; svan Wijlick et al., 2016     Sherlock, 2013; Modrzewska and Kurnatowski, 2015; Burgal et al., 2019                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |          | PGA10          | Finkel et al., 2012                                                                                                                                        |                     |                 | et al., 2018                                                                                                          |
| RSR1     Valotteau et al., 2019     TPO1_2     Pohlers et al., 2017       SCS7     Valotteau et al., 2019     Transcriptional     ACE2     Guan et al., 2013; Inglis and       SNF5     Inglis and Sherlock, 2013;     regulatory genes     Sherlock, 2013; Modrzewska and Kurnatowski, 2015; Surgai et al., 2019       TEC1     Valotteau et al., 2010     SCS7     SCS7     Sherlock, 2013; Modrzewska and Kurnatowski, 2015; Burgai et al., 2019                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                              |          | RBT5           | Holland et al., 2014                                                                                                                                       |                     | MDR1            | Glazier et al., 2017                                                                                                  |
| SCS7     Valotteau et al., 2019     Transcriptional     ACE2     Guan et al., 2013; Inglis and       SNF5     Inglis and Sherlock, 2013;     regulatory genes     Sherlock, 2013; Modrzewska<br>and Kurnatowski,<br>2015; van Wijlick et al., 2016     Sherlock, 2013; Modrzewska<br>and Kurnatowski, 2015; Burgai<br>et al., 2019                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |          | RSR1           | Valotteau et al., 2019                                                                                                                                     |                     | TPO1_2          | Pohlers et al., 2017                                                                                                  |
| SNF5       Inglis and Sherlock, 2013;       regulatory genes       Sherlock, 2013; Modrzewska         Modrzewska and Kurnatowski,       and Kurnatowski,       and Kurnatowski, 2015; Burgai         2015; van Wijlick et al., 2016       et al., 2019         TEC1       Valottagu et al. 2010       ECL2                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |          | SCS7           | Valotteau et al., 2019                                                                                                                                     | Transcriptional     | ACE2            | Guan et al., 2013; Inglis and                                                                                         |
| TEC1 Valottaguetal 2010 PCL2 Gulatiand Nabile 2016                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |          | SNF5           | Inglis and Sherlock, 2013;<br>Modrzewska and Kurnatowski,<br>2015; van Wijlick et al., 2016                                                                | regulatory genes    |                 | Sherlock, 2013; Modrzewska<br>and Kurnatowski, 2015; Burgain<br>et al., 2019                                          |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                  |          | TEC1           | Valotteau et al., 2019                                                                                                                                     |                     | BGL2            | Gulati and Nobile, 2016                                                                                               |

(Continued)

(Continued)

#### TABLE 1 | Continued

| Туре | Gene | References                                                                                           |
|------|------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
|      | BRG1 | Bandara et al., 2013; Freire<br>et al., 2018; Marak and<br>Dhanashree, 2018; Burgain<br>et al., 2019 |
|      | CCR4 | Gulati and Nobile, 2016                                                                              |
|      | CPH1 | Connolly et al., 2013; Yano<br>et al., 2019                                                          |
|      | CPH2 | Burgain et al., 2019                                                                                 |
|      | CST6 | Mendelsohn et al., 2017; Freire et al., 2018                                                         |
|      | GAS1 | Gulati and Nobile, 2016                                                                              |
|      | GAS2 | Gulati and Nobile, 2016                                                                              |
|      | GAS5 | Gulati and Nobile, 2016                                                                              |
|      | GWP1 | Mendelsohn et al., 2017                                                                              |
|      | GZF3 | Burgain et al., 2019                                                                                 |
|      | NRG1 | Ganguly et al., 2011; Gulati and<br>Nobile, 2016; Su et al., 2018                                    |
|      | PES1 | Ganguly et al., 2011; Gulati and Nobile, 2016                                                        |
|      | PHR1 | Gulati and Nobile, 2016                                                                              |
|      | RCA1 | Mendelsohn et al., 2017                                                                              |
|      | RFG1 | Su et al., 2018                                                                                      |
|      | RLM1 | Gulati and Nobile, 2016                                                                              |
|      | ROB1 | Marak and Dhanashree, 2018;<br>Burgain et al., 2019                                                  |
|      | TEC1 | Orsi et al., 2014; Marak and<br>Dhanashree, 2018; Burgain<br>et al., 2019                            |
|      | XOG1 | Gulati and Nobile, 2016                                                                              |
|      | ZAP1 | Feng et al., 2016; Gulati and<br>Nobile, 2016; Pierce et al.,<br>2017; Tan et al., 2017              |

The overexpression of Ywp1 protein in the cell wall makes the biofilm more adherent, as it negatively regulates the dispersion process. It has previously been shown that Ywp1 can collaborate biofilm detachment in early stages. On the contrary, it can contribute to biofilm maintenance during the late phases of biofilm growth. It has been proposed that Ywp1 interacts with other C. albicans adhesin proteins expressed in early, but not late phases of biofilm growth (Karkowska-Kuleta et al., 2019).

The process of cell dispersion begins early and occurs during the development phase of the biofilm. These dispersed cells have differences in their transcriptome that confer improved virulence characteristics and drug resistance, as well as a superior expression of transporters necessary for the achievement of nutrients. However, initial adhesion and maintenance are keys to biofilm biomass development. The filamentation process is important for the expression of proteins that maintain adhesion, and thus the deletion of individual proteins in *C. albicans*, such as Efg1 and Bcr1, resulted in an almost complete loss of initial adhesion and a collapse of biofilm development. However, the suppression of the expression of the ALS1 and ALS3 adhesins and Hyr1 lead to a shortage of adhesion and biofilm biomass. The hyperfilamentous strains generated by the suppression of Hog1 and Sfl1, formed a more robust biofilm. In addition, in a previous study, it was found that *C. albicans* that lacks Ywp1 protein had a weak adhesion maintenance force, but its effect on initial binding was minimal. This finding indicates that Ywp1 protein interferes with other *C. albicans* adhesive proteins (McCall et al., 2019).

The proteins expressed in *Candida* spp. biofilm formation are summarized in **Table 2**.

### **Quorum Sensing (QS)**

Quorum sensing or "quorum perception" designates a complex intercellular communication system, wherein the microorganisms coordinate to generate a uniform response for their survival and ensure the colonization of their habitats. It is the so-called "language of microorganisms" (Saxena et al., 2019).

Due to the environment, the metabolic pathways of *C. albicans* that are involved in the yeast-hypha transition, as well as its virulence, depend on a large number of molecules generated during QS (Padder et al., 2018). This process includes the production and deliverance of a signal molecule (autoinducer) that, according to cell density, will increase its concentration and favor the collective and synchronized expression of specific genes in all the species associated with biofilm formation.

According to Riekhof and Nickerson (2017), it has been demonstrated that *C. albicans* is a dimorphic fungus in the presence of farnesoic acid (FA) and farnesol (F), the two sensor molecules of related sesquiterpene quorums, and when accumulated, they do not allow the change from yeast to mycelium. Studies were conducted with three different ATCC strains of *C. albicans*, 10231, A72, and SC5314. The first strain excretes a high concentration of FA, while the remaining two secretes only F, although it is important to note that the *Candida* 

 TABLE 2 | Differential expression of the proteins involved in biofilm formation in

 Candida spp.

| Туре               | Protein            | References                                        |
|--------------------|--------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| - 71               |                    |                                                   |
| Adhesion           | Adhesins           | Nett et al., 2015; Hirota et al.,<br>2017         |
|                    | Als1               | Diezmann et al., 2015                             |
|                    | Als3               | Diezmann et al., 2015                             |
|                    | Bcr1               | Diezmann et al., 2015                             |
|                    | Efg1               | Diezmann et al., 2015                             |
|                    | Hog1               | Diezmann et al., 2015                             |
|                    | Hyr1               | Diezmann et al., 2015                             |
|                    | Sfl1               | Diezmann et al., 2015                             |
|                    | Ywp1               | Becherelli et al., 2013; Diezmann<br>et al., 2015 |
| Biofilm associated | Alarmin S100-A9    | Beitelshees et al., 2018                          |
|                    | C-reactive protein | Beitelshees et al., 2018                          |
|                    | eDNA               | Thomas et al., 2006                               |
|                    | Hemoglobin         | Beitelshees et al., 2018                          |
|                    | Myeloperoxidase    | Beitelshees et al., 2018                          |
| Heat shock         | Hsp 90             | Wagener et al., 2012; Willaert,<br>2018           |

spp. that produce FA do produce F in undetectable amounts. In conclusion, although F and FA possess close chemical similarity, they use separate pathways to block hyphal development.

Previously, CaPHO81, a key component of the phosphate starvation response signal transduction pathway, has been shown to be implicated in inhibition of hyphal development via FA. First, it must be taken into account that the  $\Delta$ hot1 mutant cells used in this study, lost their sensitivity to FA but were still sensitive to F. Second, *HOT1* and *PHO81* mRNA abundance increased dramatically between 40 and 240 min after FA treatment, but these expression levels remained unchanged after F treatment as well. However, the genetic and biochemical factors that contribute to the selection for FA production versus F production are currently unexplored. Moreover, further studies will likely provide more details on the differences in virulence and immune responses between the ATCC 10231 strain used in various studies and other clinical isolates of C. albicans (Dižová and Bujdáková, 2017; Paluch et al., 2020).

Farnesol synthesized by *C. albicans* acts as a negative regulator of morphogenesis, as it inhibits the yeast–hyphae transformation. Thus, the aforesaid effect on morphological transformation via cyclic AMP (cAMP)/protein kinase A (cAMP-PKA) pathway also affects other biochemical pathways of yeasts, such as the ones for sterol biosynthesis or triggering of apoptosis via accumulation of ROS (reactive oxygen species) that damages essential cellular compartments. ROS activate intracellular caspases that indicate apoptotic response in *C. albicans* (Lindsay et al., 2012; Polke et al., 2018).

Regarding QS, we would like to highlight that Paluch et al. (2020) investigated the quorum quenching (QQ) technique and highlighted its importance because it interrupts the microbial communication and ultimately, the biofilm formation. QQ-driving molecules can decrease or even completely inhibit virulence factor production, and consequently, biofilm formation. One of the strategies is to use structural analogs of the QS receptor autoinducers. Most QQ molecules are enzymes with the ability to degrade the signaling molecules or cascades. The techniques that are being used to measure QS/QQ are mass chromatography-spectroscopy, bioluminescence, fluorescence, electrochemistry, chemiluminescence, and colorimetry. The importance of these research methods lies in their medical and biotechnological application.

# Candida BIOFILM MODELS

To determine whether *Candida* spp. can form biofilms on vaginal mucosa, the use of *in vivo*, *ex vivo*, and *in vitro* models are essential. Studying the implications of *Candida* spp. in clinical practice may help in the discovery of new therapeutic targets in *Candida* spp.

# In vitro Models

To facilitate the detection of compounds that are active against biofilms, high-performance biofilm models are needed. Tsui et al. (2016) used a microtiter plate model to evaluate the variability between *C. albicans* biofilms formed in independent wells of the

same microtiter plate. The biofilms that constituted over a 24 h period showed consistent metabolic activity.

Nweze et al. (2012) and Almshawit et al. (2014) used the Calgary Biofilm Device (CBD) for the understanding of *Candida* spp. biofilms. The CBD was also used to study the susceptibility of *Candida* spp. biofilms to metal ions and chelating agents (Harrison et al., 2007a) and identify persistent cells in such biofilms (Harrison et al., 2007b).

Samaranayake et al. (2005) used a perfusion model involving three commonly used antifungal agents, amphotericin B, fluconazole, and flucytosine, and biofilms established on microporous filters by *C. albicans*, *C. parapsilosis*, and *C. krusei*. The authors found that biofilm growth is dependent both on the antimycotic agent used and *Candida* spp. Shao et al. (2015) described a free-flow incubator that allowed biofilm organization under continuous flow conditions. The system displayed stability and continuity during the 96 h experiment. *C. albicans* and *C. glabrata* might co-exist in the dual-species biofilms under the flow regime.

Catheter-associated infections can be caused by biofilms formed on catheter surfaces. Within the biofilm, cells are protected from the host immune system. Authors studied the formation of *C. albicans* biofilms on catheters, including latex urinary catheters, and with artificial urine and antimicrobial therapies (Negri et al., 2011).

Recently, Srinivasan et al. (2012) have developed a *C. albicans* biofilm chip microarray system (CaBChip). The system is composed of more than 700 uniform and independent nanobiofilms encapsulated in a collagen matrix and is the first miniature biofilm model for *C. albicans*. In spite of multiple miniaturizations, the biofilms formed on the chip had phenotypic features that were similar to those of biofilms organized *in vitro*, containing a combination of yeast, pseudohyphae, and hyphal cells, and high concentrations of antifungal compounds. The models will allow the identification of possible antibiofilm drugs.

The use of SkinEthic<sup>TM</sup> reconstituted human epithelia models is based on the fact that the epithelial cells are placing in inert filter substrates that rise to the air-liquid interface in a humidified air incubator. Nutrient medium is added to feed the basal cells through the filter substrate. After the first 5 days, a stratified epithelium similar to human tissue is formed. The oral and vaginal epithelial tissues formed express all the major natural markers of epithelial basement membrane and epithelial differentiation, behave like human epithelium, and reflect natural wound resolution processes *in vivo*. Damage can be visualized by histopathological examination and precisely analyzed using available techniques. Confocal laser microscopy is being used (Schaller et al., 2003, 2005), although no conclusive data have yet been published.

Samaranayake et al. (2005) and other authors have verified that *Candida* spp. biofilms on mucosal surfaces exhibit characteristics similar to those of cells growing on abiotic surfaces. Murine models with vaginal yeast infections established on mucosal surfaces have demonstrated that *Candida* biofilms harbor many yeast, hyphae, and extracellular material.

 Table 3 shows the main studies on Candida biofilm models conducted in vitro.

# In vivo Models

Experimental animal models are crucial to completely understand the candidate factors of pathogenesis and develop new therapeutic approaches, mainly in light of the increased incidence of fungal infections.

Rodents are one of the most useful animals to study candidiasis, since the disease process and host immune responses are similar to those of humans. A well-established estrogendependent mouse model has been developed for the study of vaginitis (Yano and Fidel, 2011). Even though, unlike humans, laboratory rodents do not naturally have *C. albicans* as a commensal, the experimentally induced infection resembles human infection. Therefore, the investigations of the animal model are translatable to the human host (Peters et al., 2014).

Wang and Fries (2011) made a murine model to investigate catheter-associated urogenital tract infections and candiduria. In this model, a guidewire is inserted through the urethra of a female mouse and a catheter segment is inserted over the guidewire into the bladder. While mucosal biofilms share many characteristics with the device-associated biofilms, whether they exhibit the same degree of resistance to antifungal compounds is unclear. Clinically, mucosal biofilms respond more frequently to antifungal therapies, including azoles (Wang and Fries, 2011).

After 5–7 days, the animal is infected intravascularly with *C. albicans*. The infection persists for approximately 28 days.

During this time period, a dense biofilm of adherent yeast and hyphae forms on the luminal and extraluminal surfaces. The difficulty is that, since there is only one catheter segment, the flow will probably be less. This system can also be used in other mammalian animals, including wild or immunocompromised animals.

Since there is only one catheter segment in place in the model, the flow conditions are probably less than what would be seen for a catheter installed in a patient, which functions to drain the bladder. The model includes the mammalian immune system. In addition, the model may use wild type or immunocompromised animals. To the best of our knowledge, this model has not been used to investigate the activity of anti-biofilm therapies yet.

Naglik et al. (2008) administered 0.1 mg 17- $\beta$ -oestradiol in 0.1 ml sesame seed oil subcutaneously for 3 days to female mice prior to intravaginal inoculation with 20  $\mu$ l *C. albicans* 3153A (2.5 × 10<sup>6</sup> cells/ml), *C. albicans* DAY185 (2.5 × 10<sup>8</sup> cells/ml), or biofilm mutant (2.5 × 10<sup>8</sup> cells/ml) suspension. After a period of time, the mice were euthanized. The vagina was removed from each mouse and examined through confocal or scanning electron microscopy.

Harriott et al. (2010) performed an *ex vivo* investigation that involved female mice treated with estrogen. After euthanizing, the vagina was removed from each mouse. *C. albicans* isolates  $(1 \times 10^6$  blastoconidia) in 0.1 ml PBS was incubated at  $37^{\circ}$ C in an atmosphere of CO<sub>2</sub> and instilled on the vaginal surface. The fungal load was determined and microscopy examination was performed.

**TABLE 3** | Schema for *in vitro Candida* spp. related to biofilm models.

| <i>In vitro</i> models                                                                                                                             | Components                                                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Characteristics                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           |
|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Plastic/microtiter plates (Tsui et al., 2016)                                                                                                      | Polystyrene surfaces at different temperatures (10, 20, and 37°C), flat-bottomed 96-well microtiter plates, and plastic slides                                                                                                                     | Useful for biofilm formation for different <i>Candida</i> spp. strongly associated with the type and phenotypic behavior of the isolates                                                                                                                                                                                  |
| Calgary Biofilm Device (CBD) (Harrison et al.,<br>2007a; Nweze et al., 2012; Almshawit et al., 2014)                                               | CBD was developed from polypropylene<br>microcentrifuge tubes and pipette type boxes, as<br>well as 96-well polystyrene pegs/plates                                                                                                                | A useful, simple, low cost miniature device for<br>parallel study of <i>Candida</i> biofilms and factors<br>modulating this phenomenon.                                                                                                                                                                                   |
| Microporous membrane filters (Gu et al., 2015)                                                                                                     | Microporous polycarbonate (25-mm diameter)                                                                                                                                                                                                         | Quantitative evaluation of the antifungals that<br>diffused into the disk through the biofilm                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |
| Flow system biofilm models (Shao et al., 2015)                                                                                                     | Automated microfluidic device under laminar flow conditions                                                                                                                                                                                        | Used to study biofilm formation in real-time. The<br>flow of liquids can influence nutrient exchange and<br>the structural integrity of biofilms.                                                                                                                                                                         |
| Catheters (Negri et al., 2011)                                                                                                                     | Silicone, polyurethane, and latex urinary catheters, with artificial urine                                                                                                                                                                         | Used under flow conditions to study <i>Candida</i> spp. adhesion and biofilm formation                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |
| Robotic microarrayer is used to dispense yeast<br>cells of <i>C. albicans</i> onto a solid substrate.<br>(CaBChip) (Srinivasan et al., 2012, 2013) | CaBChip composed of ~750 equivalent and<br>spatially distinct biofilms with cell-based microarray<br>platform allows for miniaturization of microbial cell<br>culture and is fully compatible with other<br>high-throughput screening technologies | The main advantages of the fungal biofilm chip are<br>automation, miniaturization, savings in amount and<br>cost of reagents and analyses time, as well as the<br>elimination of labor intensive steps. This chip<br>significantly speeds up the antifungal drug<br>discovery process.                                    |
| Reconstituted human epithelia (RHE) models<br>(Schaller et al., 2003, 2005)                                                                        | Epithelial cells are seeded on inert filter substrates<br>that are raised to the air-liquid interface in a<br>humidified air incubator                                                                                                             | Epithelial damage can be visualized by histological<br>analysis of the embedded and quantified based on<br>the extracellular activity of lactate dehydrogenase<br>(LDH) in the culture medium released by the<br>damaged epithelial cells. Additionally, microscopy.<br>fluorescence-activated cell sorting. ELISA can be |

and real-time immune-PCR (used to show them)

Another recently used model for the *in vivo* study of *Candida* spp. biofilms is the nematode *Caenorhabditis elegans*. The model is relevant for aspects of human infections, including resistance to antifungal agents (Madende et al., 2020).

The most relevant studies on *Candida in vivo/ex vivo* biofilms are summarized in **Table 4** 

### **Biofilm Inhibition**

It should be noted that despite the existence of numerous investigations, no specific drugs are available for treating biofilm-produced VVC/RVVC yet.

Posaconazole shows *in vitro* and *in vivo* synergy with caspofungin against *C. albicans*, including echinocandinresistant isolates. Furthermore, an *in vitro* study of *C. albicans*, *C. glabrata*, and *C. parapsilosis* showed that azole-resistant *Candida* spp. are not resistant to the combination of both compounds (Cui et al., 2015). Similar results were obtained by Ning et al. (2015) with epigallocatechin gallate bound to azoles.

In a study of the various biological properties of the thiazolidinone scaffold, 100 compounds were synthesized and characterized by a 1,3-thiazolidin-4-one nucleus derivatized at the C2 with a hydrazine bridge, linked to (cyclo) aliphatic or hetero (aryl) moieties. The *N*-benzylated derivatives of these compounds were found to be highly effective against *Candida* spp. (Carradori et al., 2017).

Mannich base-type eugenol derivatives have also been synthesized and shown to be particularly effective against *C. glabrata* (Abrão et al., 2015).

Piperazine derivatives 1c–34e have been synthesized from phenol, 2,4-dichlorophenol and 4-hydroxybiphenyl. Their inhibitory activity during hyphae formation was verified and it correlated with the inhibition of biofilm formation by *C. albicans*, thus possibly indicating the vital role of hyphae development in the *C. albicans* biofilm formation process (Zhao et al., 2018).

A new series of glycosides modified in their saccharide units has been analyzed against *Candida* sp. The newly synthesized glycoside is called eugenol glucosiso 5 and can be considered a new structural pattern in anti-*Candida* drugs, fundamentally against *C. glabrata* (de Souza et al., 2016).

When a cationic peptide antibiotic was used in combination with antifungal agents, such as polymyxin B with azoles, a synergist effect was seen (Pankey et al., 2014; Raman et al., 2015). Aminoglycosides, like tobramycin, have been shown to possess antifungal potential (Fosso et al., 2018). Silva et al. (2014) showed that cerium nitrate has fungicidal activity against planktonic Candida cells. Similarly, the ability of cerium nitrate to pare and disarticulate biofilms has been demonstrated, which represents an important advance in clinical practice. Thamban Chandrika et al. (2018) identified fluconzaol analogs and found that the antifungal activity of alkyl-amino fluconazole derivatives depends on the alkyl chain length. Recently, compounds 6-9 were identified as promising antifungal agents, with low cytotoxicity and hemolytic activity. These compounds have higher activity levels and lower toxicity than fluconazole.

Antifungal lock therapy is used to inhibit biofilm formation. Micafungin (5 and 15 mg/L), especially combined with ethanol; capsofungin (5 and 25 mg/L); and posaconazole (10 mg/L) have been used in this form of therapy (Walraven and Lee, 2013). Liposomal amphotericin B (5 mg/mL) or anidulafungin (3.33 mg/mL) has also been successfully used (Basas et al., 2019).

Nikkomycin Z has been shown to act synergistically in association with caspofungin or micafungin against biofilms (Kovács et al., 2019).

Given the resistance of fungi to fluconazole, new compounds derived from this antifungal compound have been investigated. A total of 27 new fluconazole derivatives have been tested for their antifungal activity against a panel of 13 clinically relevant fungal strains (Shrestha et al., 2017).

Classically, the combination of antifungals and antibiotics, such as rifampicin, have been shown to have a general role in regulating signal transduction or modulating gene expression by other mechanisms in *C. albicans* (Vogel et al., 2008). Similarly, synergistic interactions between fluoroquinolones and antifungal agents (amphotericin B or caspofungin) have been demonstrated, potentially improving the outcome in immunosuppressed patients with concurrent bacterial and fungal infections (Stergiopoulou et al., 2009). Recently,

| 1                                                                                                                   |                                                                                                                             |                                                   |                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                                                       |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|
| <i>In vivo</i> models                                                                                               | Device                                                                                                                      | Animal species                                    | Characteristics                                                                                                                                                                     | References                                                            |
| Catheter-associated models                                                                                          | Candiduria model: a<br>subcutaneous foreign body<br>system featuring a catheter<br>through the urethra of a female<br>mouse | Rat, mouse, and rabbit                            | Rat and mouse models have<br>advantages over rabbit<br>models that include relatively<br>low cost, ease of use, and<br>ability to mimic the clinical<br>conditions of rabbit models | Wang and Fries, 2011;<br>Yano and Fidel, 2011;<br>Peters et al., 2014 |
| Models in which the vagina of<br>each animal is excised and cut<br>longitudinally to expose the<br>mucosal surface. | 17-β-Estradiol<br>subcutaneous + intravaginally<br>administered <i>C. albicans</i>                                          | Female mice                                       | Tissue is used to determine<br>fungal load through<br>confocalor scanning electron<br>microscopy                                                                                    | Naglik et al., 2008                                                   |
| Models using biotic surfaces, such as vaginal mucosa                                                                | <i>Ex vivo</i> models                                                                                                       | Mouse (treated with estradiol prior to infection) | Low cost and mimics clinical<br>conditions                                                                                                                                          | Harriott et al., 2010                                                 |
| Animal is infected with fungi by consuming the yeast cells as a food source                                         | <i>In vivo</i> models                                                                                                       | Nematode <i>Caenorhabditis</i><br>elegans         | Identification of antifungal chemical compounds                                                                                                                                     | Madende et al., 2020                                                  |

TABLE 4 | Schema for in vivo/ex vivo Candida-associated urogenital biofilm models.

chloramphenicol has been shown to have activity, mainly against *C. albicans* and *C. glabrata* (Joseph et al., 2015). The combination of antifungals and QS molecules is also of interest. Farnesol, a QS molecule, inhibits the formation of hyphae and biofilms, and tyrosol and farnesol are excellent antifungal candidates (Monteiro et al., 2017; Mehmood et al., 2019).

Using nanotechnology, amphotericin B-loaded silver nanoparticles have been developed and shown promise in improving the antifungal capacity of amphotericin B against *Candida* spp. (Leonhard et al., 2018).

Some success has also been obtained with 0.43–1.736 mM acetylsalicylic acid use. It is especially effective due to its synergistic effects with amphotericin B (Zhou et al., 2012). Ibuprofen (Sharma et al., 2015) and ambroxol (Li et al., 2017) have also been successfully used as antifungal agents.

Synthetic compounds, such as the peptides, KSL-W and SM21, which inhibit the transition from yeast to hypha, also inhibit biofilm formation (Theberge et al., 2013; Cavalheiro and Teixeira, 2018).

Photodynamic therapies using light of a certain wavelength and a photosensitizing dye are also being investigated. These therapies produce reactive oxygen species (ROS), and after treatment, substances such as hydrogen peroxide can be used. The absence of dye toxicity and low cost of the technique suggest that this alternative approach has great potential (Bujdáková, 2016).

Polymers incorporated in medical devices can also be modified to prevent fungal contamination. Lumbrical organ water-insoluble polyethylamine derivatives are capable of inhibiting *C. albicans* growth, by altering the membrane integrity. Furthermore, the natural polymer, chitosan, is also effective against *C. albicans* biofilms (Hoque et al., 2015).

**Table 5** shows the main advances in new compounds involvingnew therapeutic options directed toward fungal biofilms.

# **Immune Response Intervention**

Peptide-derived antibody therapies against *Candida* spp. are currently being developed. These therapies increase the oxidative stress of the yeast and membrane permeability to significantly decrease the expression levels of biofilm generation-related genes (Paulone et al., 2017).

New targets are also being investigated to develop vaccines for RVVC. An effective RVVC vaccine is a possible option to treat this chronic condition. An ideal vaccine should be able to induce an efficient immune response that promotes fungus elimination and virulence factor neutralization, without causing harmful changes in the vaginal microenvironment (Cassone, 2015). Vaccines that target the adhesin, Als3, and the enzyme, Sap2, have been developed. Although no vaccine has been approved for use in humans currently, important advances in this area are expected in the near future (Sui et al., 2017; Tso et al., 2018). In a recent study, 70 women of fertile age were recruited and divided into two groups. One group was treated by intravaginal autolymphocyte therapy, in conjunction with traditional treatment, and a control group only received conventional treatment. The group of patients treated by autolymphocyte therapy recovered fully in half the time than that required by the control group (Alyautdina and Esina, 2019).

### **Probiotics**

The term probiotics refers to microorganisms with beneficial actions on the human body. To be classified as a probiotic, a microorganism must be correctly identified at the genus, species, and strain levels using phenotypic and genotypic methods, since the demonstrated beneficial effects of a specific strain cannot be extrapolated and attributable to another strain of the same species. The strain is also required to be deposited in an internationally recognized collection. Probiotics should lack virulence factors and/or the ability to produce metabolites that are undesirable for the host. The main objective of probiotic therapy is not to re-establish the vaginal canal bacterial microbiome; and there is no consensus for its use in vaginal infection treatment or their sequelae (Hewadmal and Jangra, 2019). However, Rodríguez-Cerdeira et al. (2019) have extensively reported the importance of probiotics in VVC/RVVC treatment. Ongoing research on this topic and recently performed studies are discussed below.

Tsimaris et al. (2019) studied a group of 70 women treated with *Lactobacillus* during the pre-diagnosis period. Administration of intravaginal *B. coagulans*, alone and in combination with standard antibiotic therapy, provided significant benefits in the treatment of vaginal symptoms in VVC patients in this clinical trial.

Previous studies support the notion that vaginal microbiota restoration and/or local mucosal immune response modulation can be achieved via supplementation with probiotics, which can be administered orally as a probiotic food supplement, intravaginally as vaginal suppositories, or applied topically as a gel (Köhler et al., 2012; Gille et al., 2016).

Gabrielli et al. (2018) have verified the probiotic capacity of *Saccharomyces cerevisiae* CNCM I-3856 to modulate the expression of *C. albicans* pathogenicity in mice with vaginal candidiasis. Daily intravaginal administration of *S. cerevisiae* CNCM I-3856 was shown to eliminate various components of the fungus necessary for its virulence in the vagina and modulate the expression of aspartyl proteinase and genes associated with hyphal growth, *Hwpl* and *Ecel*, in the epithelium. The decreased inflammatory response observed in this study was likely due to the decrease in IL-8 production and aspartyl proteinase expression inhibition. Despite the assumption that *S. cerevisiae* administration would produce undesirable effects, it did not alter the architecture of vaginal epithelial cells or organs, either *in vitro* or in the human vaginal epithelium *in vivo* (Handalishy et al., 2014; van de Wijgert and Verwijs, 2020).

In general, the studies reported contradictory results and probiotics were generally not effective in preventing or curing VVC. None of the studies showed safety issues with the tested probiotics. There was no evidence of vaginal colonization by the probiotic strains and thus, vaginal detection is restricted to the dosing period. It should be noted that the different probiotic products used in these studies differ in their components, such as the active ingredients and excipients, and the application methods and dosages differ between the different studies. There

| Compound                                                                                                                                                                                                               | Action                                                                                                                                                                                                                                   | References                                                             |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Posaconazole plus caspofungin ( <i>in vitro</i> and in animals)                                                                                                                                                        | C. albicans, C. glabrata, and C. parapsilosis                                                                                                                                                                                            | Cui et al., 2015                                                       |
| or<br>Concomitant use of epigallocatechin gallate and<br>miconazole, fluconazole, or amphotericin B                                                                                                                    | Highly effective against <i>C. albicans, C. glabrata</i> and <i>C. parapsilosis in vitro</i> and in animal experiments                                                                                                                   | Ning et al., 2015                                                      |
| 1,3-thiazolidin-4-one nucleus and its N-benzylated<br>derivatives at the C2 with a hydrazine bridge linked to<br>(cyclo)aliphatic or hetero(aryl)                                                                      | Strong activity against <i>Candida</i> spp.<br>Lack of cytotoxic effects                                                                                                                                                                 | Carradori et al., 2017                                                 |
| Mannich base-type eugenol derivatives: :<br>4-allyl-2-methoxy-6- (morpholin-4-ylmethyl) phenyl<br>benzoate (7) and 4- {5-allyl-2 - [(4-chlorobenzoyl) oxy]<br>-3-methoxybenzyl}. Morpholin-4-io (8) chloride was found | Highly effective against C. albicans, C. glabrata, and C. krusei                                                                                                                                                                         | Abrão et al., 2015<br>Yano et al., 2012                                |
| 1-(4-ethoxyphenyl)-4-(1-biphenylol-2-hydroxypropyl)-<br>piperazine                                                                                                                                                     | Acts primarily on <i>C. albicans</i><br>Low cytotoxic effects                                                                                                                                                                            | Zhao et al., 2018                                                      |
| Glucosides with modified saccharides                                                                                                                                                                                   | Fungistatic activity against C. glabrata                                                                                                                                                                                                 | de Souza et al., 2016                                                  |
| Amphiphilic, helical $\beta$ -peptide structural mimetics of natural antimicrobial $\alpha$ -peptides                                                                                                                  | Specific planktonic antifungal and anti-biofilm activity against<br>C. albicans, C. glabrata, C. parapsilosis, and C. tropicalis                                                                                                         | Pankey et al., 2014<br>Raman et al., 2015                              |
| Aminoglocosides derived from tobramycin                                                                                                                                                                                | The triazole is most effective against Candida spp.                                                                                                                                                                                      | Fosso et al., 2018                                                     |
| Cerium nitrate, a member of the lanthanide family                                                                                                                                                                      | Active against planktonic and sessile <i>Candida</i> spp. cells<br>It is able to prevent biofilm formation by <i>C. albicans</i> and<br><i>C. parapsilosis</i> both <i>in vitro</i> and <i>in vivo</i><br>Application in medical devices | Silva-Dias et al., 2015                                                |
| Fluconazole analogs with alkyl-, aryl-, cycloalkyl-, and dialkyl-amino substituents                                                                                                                                    | These compounds are active against some of the C. albicans and<br>non-albicans Candida strains and are highly effective against clinical<br>strains of C. glabrata and C. parapsilosis                                                   | Thamban Chandrika<br>et al., 2018                                      |
| Micafungin + ethanol<br>Capsofungin/posaconazole, or<br>amphotericin B, or<br>anidulafungin, or<br>Caspofungin/micafungin in combination with nikkomycin Z                                                             | Antifungal lock therapy is used to inhibit the formation of the biofilm                                                                                                                                                                  | Walraven and Lee,<br>2013<br>Basas et al., 2019<br>Kovács et al., 2019 |
| 27 new FLC derivatives                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Broad-spectrum antifungal activity. All compounds inhibit the sterol $14\alpha$ -demethylase enzyme involved in ergosterol biosynthesis                                                                                                  | Shrestha et al., 2017                                                  |
| Fluoroquinolones and antifungal agents (from amphotericin<br>B or caspofungin) or rifampicin<br>Chloramphenicol                                                                                                        | Very useful in immunosuppressed patients                                                                                                                                                                                                 | Stergiopoulou et al.,<br>2009<br>Vogel et al., 2008                    |
|                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | Not valid for use against <i>C. albicans</i> or <i>C. glabrata</i><br>Antifungal activity comparable to caspofungin and ketoconazole                                                                                                     | Joseph et al., 2015                                                    |
| Tyrosol and farnesol                                                                                                                                                                                                   | Strong biofilm inhibition<br>Inhibit the formation of hyphae                                                                                                                                                                             | Monteiro et al., 2017<br>Mehmood et al., 2019                          |
| Amphotericin B plus silver hybrid nanoparticles                                                                                                                                                                        | Powerful antifungal activity although the toxicity of the nanoparticles depends on the size, concentration, and pH of the medium and the exposure time to pathogens                                                                      | Leonhard et al., 2018                                                  |
| Amphotericin B plus acetylsalicylic/ibuprofen/ambroxol                                                                                                                                                                 | They are inexpensive, but they increase the risk of bleeding and hyperkalaemia                                                                                                                                                           | Zhou et al., 2012<br>Sharma et al., 2015<br>Li et al., 2017            |
| KSL-W and SM21 peptides                                                                                                                                                                                                | Inhibit biofilm formation by Candida spp.                                                                                                                                                                                                | Theberge et al., 2013<br>Cavalheiro and Teixeira,<br>2018              |

is also no consensus on which specific strains of *Lactobacillus* may be most beneficial for dysbiosis. However, the efficacy of the different probiotics analyzed in the studies was highly similar.

# DISCUSSION

The importance of the present review lies in the fact that it raises awareness regarding the impact of biofilms on practical clinical management and treatment of VVC/RVVC and highlights the need for additional research toward the development of novel therapeutics targeting pathogenic vulvovaginal biofilms.

As discussed in the present review, numerous transcriptional events related to morphogenesis, key molecule expression, and virulence factor manifestation occur during the invasion and infection processes. Experimental evidence indicates that *C. albicans* can differentially regulate its genes during adaptation to and subsequent colonization of a biological niche, and it exhibits a specific profile of virulence factors depending on the type of mucosa in which the infection occurs. In this

review, we focussed on the mucosa of the lower genital tract (TGI) with a special emphasis on the vulvovaginal mucosa (El-Houssaini et al., 2019).

*Candida* spp. that form biofilms exhibit high resistance to antifungal drugs and are known to successfully evade host defense mechanisms. This resistance is responsible for the perpetuation and reappearance of this type of infection, causing collateral damage to the surrounding tissues.

Present interventions for preventing biofilm formation are based on attempts to modulate surface chemistries to ensure prevention of attachment (Li et al., 2018) or blocking molecules involved in signal transduction, such as c-di-GMP, from regulating attachment and matrix production (Hall and Lee, 2018).

Other substances used are proteases immobilized on a polypropylene surface, which decreases the adhesion of *C. albicans* required for biofilm formation, although the toxicity of these enzymes remains an issue (Andreani et al., 2017).

Disruption of the maturation of *Candida* biofilms with the alkaloid berberine has been successfully demonstrated in both planktonic and biofilm conditions, as described by Xie et al. (2020). The authors observed significant alterations in the architecture of biofilms formed by *C. albicans* (ATCC 10231 and ATCC 90028), *C. krusei* (ATCC 6258), *C. glabrata* (ATCC 90030), and *C. dubliniensis* (MYA 646).

The role of epithelial cell-mediated immunity of the vulvovaginal mucosa is crucial in the context of protection against vaginal Candida infections. The FGT is equipped with various mechanisms responsible for innate immunity that are important in maintaining immunological surveillance against microorganisms, upholding the basic tenets of commensalism, and ensuring protection against invasion (Cassone, 2018). These include neutrophil polymorphonuclear cells (PMNs), macrophages, dendritic cells, natural killer (NK) cells, T lymphocytes, and innate lymphocytes, which actively contribute to the localized antifungal response (Richardson et al., 2018; Yano et al., 2018). Most of these cells reside in the mucous membranes of the TGI, although additional molecules are recruited in response to infection. In addition to the transforming growth factor (TGF) involved in immunoregulation, epithelial cells express innate immunity receptors, such as pattern recognition receptors (PRRs) and a broad spectrum of antimicrobial peptides including alarmins, chemokines, and cytokines [such as IL-1, IL-6, IL-8, and tumor necrosis factor (TNF)]. All these factors initiate the first phase of the response and contribute to the recruitment of other cell populations (Doerflinger et al., 2014; Pericolini et al., 2015).

Epithelial cells can discern between the saprophytic and hyphal forms of *C. albicans*, leading to activation of the inflammatory response if necessary. Yeast to hypha transition and virulence factor production causes epithelial disruption and results in PMN recruitment, which, in turn, exacerbates the inflammation (Yano et al., 2018). Previous studies have identified the alarmins, S100A8 and S100A9, as key chemotactic mediators of the acute PMN response toward Candida infection (Yano et al., 2012).

According to Jaeger et al. (2013), epithelial cells express PRRs, which are capable of detecting the presence of microorganisms

and sending activation signals to induce immune mediator secretion. There are 3 PRR families involved in the recognition of *Candida* pathogen-associated molecular patterns (PAMPs). The different PAMPs of *Candida* spp. recognized by these PRRs are well-characterized. Toll-like receptors (TLR)2, TLR4, TLR7, and TLR9 recognize phospholipomannans, *O*-mannosiderich structures, *Candida* RNA, and *Candida* DNA, respectively. NOD-like receptors (NLRs) are found in the cytosol of cells. NLRP3 constitutes a part of the inflammasome, which is a cytoplasmic multiprotein complex with enzymatic activity. Other receptors, such as mannose receptor, dectin-2, DC-SIGN, and mincle recognize other glucidic structures, such as mannose and fucose, located in the *Candida* cell wall (Stoddart et al., 2011; Yano et al., 2018).

As described by Yu and Gaffen (2008), Th17 cells within the CD4<sup>+</sup> T lymphocyte population secrete IL-17A, IL-17F, IL-22, and IL-263, but differ in their production of cytokines such as IL-1, IL-6, and TGF3. IL-23 is an absolute requirement for the expansion, maintenance, and effector functions of this cell population. NKT cells, T lymphocytes, and group 3 innate lymphoid cells (ILC3s) produce abundant IL-17 and play important roles in defending the mucosa (Conti et al., 2009).

Identification of the key role of morphogenic changes in fungus and production of Sap as a virulence factor that activates the local inflammatory response have been associated with the discovery of mutations and genetic polymorphisms in the PRRs (Kalia et al., 2019) and their activation pathways. These observations collectively highlight the mechanism of VVC pathogenesis.

Biofilm formation in RVVC is usually related to the host immune system, when evasion of the defense system occurs at both humoural and acquired levels. Complement activation is known to be decreased in biofilms compared to that in plankton cells, and altered phagocytosis occurs due to the surrounding extracellular matrix of polymeric substances (Duggan et al., 2015).

It is important to highlight that persister cells that remain latent inside the biofilm evade elimination by macrophages (Mina and Marques, 2016; Wuyts et al., 2018). Therefore, understanding the contributions of these biofilms in fungal burden and in shaping subsequent strategies that influence and modulate the local immunopathogenic response is imperative for the development of efficient therapeutic modalities.

Emerging therapeutic approaches have centered on harnessing the complex interaction between commensal and pathogenic organisms in the vaginal environment. This is implemented mainly by diets rich in probiotics or vaginally administered probiotics, and this has been shown to restore the vaginal microbiota and decrease the incidence of VVC/RVVC. The work of Miyazima et al. (2017) provides support to these claims.

Combinations of prebiotics and probiotics, also called symbiotics, not only have therapeutic applications in infections in the intestinal tract but also for the urogenital area (Olveira and González-Molero, 2016).

Special care is taken with infections associated with mucosal devices (Nett, 2016). In these cases, inhibition of yeast adhesion to surfaces or devices (coating surfaces) constitute an important

therapeutic aspect for patients with a catheter or similar device inserted in the urogenial tract (Palmieri et al., 2018).

Novel associations, emerging drugs, and new activities are being studied in response to yeasts in *Candida* spp. biofilms, as described in the previous section (Fosso et al., 2018; Thamban Chandrika et al., 2018; Černáková et al., 2019).

The resolution of these challenges would enable important advances in understanding and therapeutic management of this mycosis. Here, we summarize the potential therapeutic targets in three parts: (a) those aimed at inhibiting or eliminating biofilms, (b) those intervening in the immune response, and (c) those mediated by probiotics.

### CONCLUSION

Mycological diagnosis requires a comprehensive knowledge of the complex mechanisms underlying the interaction between a fungus and its host, and the identification of fungi is not solely limited to complete and fine technical handling in the laboratory. Fungi are eukaryotic entities with a markedly more intricate physiology and pathophysiology than viruses or bacteria, and they are an increasingly frequent cause of morbidity and mortality.

Rapid and accurate identification of pathogenic yeasts is an objective in proper patient management, especially for fungal infection control. Incorporation of modern methodologies based on molecular, genomic, proteomic, and genetic engineering techniques has facilitated the identification of different strains of *Candida* spp. These methodologies have contributed toward preventing the irrational use of antifungals and selecting appropriate empirical therapy.

*Candida* spp. biofilms have considerable clinical repercussions in VVC/RVVC owing to the increasing frequency in resistance to antifungals presented by these patients. The capacity of *Candida* spp. strains to acquire resistance confers various advantages, such as the colonization of host tissues, expression of virulent characteristics, metabolic cooperation, efficient capture of nutrients, cell-cell communication, and exchange of genetic material, which provide a considerable ecological advantage, as it protects against antifungals.

Thus, the genes involved in biofilm formation and development as well as the quorum-sensing systems are considered new targets in the development of specific inhibitors as an alternative to currently available treatments.

# REFERENCES

- Abrão, P. H., Pizi, R. B., de Souza, T. B., Silva, N. C., Fregnan, A. M., Silva, F. N., et al. (2015). Synthesis and biological evaluation of new eugenol mannich bases as promising antifungal agents. *Chem. Biol. Drug Des.* 86, 459–465. doi: 10.1111/cbdd.12504
- Achilles, S. L., Austin, M. N., Meyn, L. A., Mhlanga, F., Chirenje, Z. M., and Hillier, S. L. (2018). Impact of contraceptive initiation on vaginal microbiota. Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol. 218, 622.e1–622.e10. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2018.02.017
- Agustín, M., Viceconte, F. R., Vela Gurovic, M. S., Costantino, A., and Brugnoni, L. I. (2019). Effect of quorum sensing molecules and natamycin on biofilms of *Candida tropicalis* and other yeasts isolated from industrial

The ability of yeasts of the genus *Candida* to generate a biofilm is multifactorial and generally considered to be dependent on the site of infection, species and strain involved, and microenvironment in which it develops. Thus, as presented in this manuscript, the virulence attribute is not exclusive to a particular *Candida* species and new strains with greater formative capacity may emerge any time.

Although the *in vitro* systems studied in the present study had certain limitations, they allowed simultaneous processing of a large number of samples, which is ideal for screening. The systems also enabled easy testing of various physicochemical and biochemical parameters as well as studying the activity of antimicrobial agents. From a genomic point of view, the systems allowed us to analyze the genes involved in biofilm regulation and formation in the strains capable of forming biofilms *(in situ)*.

*In vivo* studies in murine VVC/RVVC models are commonly used to study *C. albicans* infection. Using these models, we will first investigate the virulence factors associated with *Candida* spp., including adhesins, aspartyl proteases, and hydrophobic properties. Second, we will analyze the factors favoring such infections, such as alterations in the bacterial flora. Third, we will examine the immune response to this infection in mice with genetic alterations. Finally, we can evaluate antifungal molecules through pharmacokinetic studies; prophylactic, therapeutic, and new molecule models; synergism studies; and *in vitro*, *in vivo*, and *ex vivo* correlation analyses.

The future of *Candida* spp. infection biology should simultaneously integrate the study of pathogenic factor analysis and host immunological characteristics to generate a comprehensive and detailed assessment of host-pathogen interactions. Therefore, the new VVC/RVVC approach aims to not only control fungal load, but also develop strategies targeted for modulating the local immunopathogenic response.

Finally, we must be particularly careful with immunocompromised patients with VVC/RVVC, as the release of new fungal cells from the biofilm may spread the infection throughout the body, which can lead to systemic candidiasis.

# **AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

CR-C conceived, designed, and wrote the review. EM-H, MC-G, and AL-B provided the ideas and contributed to writing the manuscript. GF, MF, ME-S, and JG-C addressed the questions related to bibliography and summaries. All the authors have read and approved the final version of the manuscript.

juice filtration membranes. J. Appl. Microbiol. 126, 1808–1820. doi: 10.1111/jam.1424

- Almshawit, H., Macreadie, I., and Grando, D. (2014). A simple and inexpensive device for biofilm analysis. J. Microbiol. Methods 98, 59–63. doi: 10.1016/j. mimet.2013.12.020
- Alyautdina, O. S., and Esina, E. V. (2019). Immunological methods for treatment of vulvovaginal infections in the preconception period. J. Med. Life 12, 368–373. doi: 10.25122/jml-2019-0068
- Andreani, E. S., Villa, F., Cappitelli, F., Krasowska, A., Biniarz, P., Łukaszewicz, M., et al. (2017). Coating polypropylene surfaces with protease weakens the adhesion and increases the dispersion of Candida albicans cells. *Biotechnol. Lett.* 39, 423–428. doi: 10.1007/s10529-016-2262-5

- Araújo, D., Henriques, M., and Silva, S. (2017). Portrait of *Candida* species biofilm regulatory network genes. *Trends Microbiol.* 25, 62–75. doi: 10.1016/j.tim.2016. 09.004
- Araújo Paulo, de Medeiros, M., Vieira, de Melo, A. P., Maia, de Sousa, A. M., et al. (2017). Characterization of virulence factors of vaginal and anal isolates of *Candida albicans* sequentially obtained from patients with vulvovaginal candidiasis in north-east Brazil. *J. Mycol. Med.* 27, 567–572. doi: 10.1016/j. mycmed.2017.06.002
- Ardehali, S. H., Azimi, T., Fallah, F., Aghamohammadi, N., Alimehr, S., Karimi, A. M., et al. (2019). Molecular detection of ALS1, ALS3, HWP1 and SAP4 genes in *Candida* genus isolated from hospitalized patients in Intensive Care Unit, Tehran, Iran. *Cell. Mol. Biol.* 65, 15–22.
- Aznar-Marin, P., Galan-Sanchez, F., Marin-Casanova, P., García-Martos, P., and Rodríguez-Iglesias, M. (2016). Candida nivariensis as a new emergent agent of vulvovaginal candidiasis: description of cases and review of published studies. *Mycopathologia* 181, 445–449. doi: 10.1007/s11046-015-9978-y
- Bandara, H. M., Cheung, B. P., Watt, R. M., Jin, L. J., and Samaranayake, L. P. (2013). Secretory products of *Escherichia coli* biofilm modulate *Candida* biofilm formation and hyphal development. *J. Investig. Clin. Dent.* 4, 186–199. doi: 10.1111/jicd.12048
- Basas, J., Palau, M., Gomis, X., Almirante, B., and Gavaldà, J. (2019). Efficacy of liposomal amphotericin B and anidulafungin using an antifungal lock technique (ALT) for catheter-related *Candida albicans* and *Candida glabrata* infections in an experimental model. *PLoS One* 14:e0212426. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone. 0212426
- Basmaciyan, L., Bon, F., Paradis, T., Lapaquette, P., and Dalle, F. (2019). Candida albicans interactions with the host: crossing the intestinal epithelial barrier. *Tissue Barr.* 7:1612661. doi: 10.1080/21688370.2019.1612661
- Becherelli, M., Tao, J., and Ryder, N. S. (2013). Involvement of heat shock proteins in *Candida albicans* biofilm formation. *J. Mol. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 23, 396– 400. doi: 10.1159/000351619
- Beitelshees, M., Hill, A., Jones, C. H., and Pfeifer, B. A. (2018). Phenotypic variation during biofilm formation: implications for anti-biofilm therapeutic design. *Materials* 11:1086. doi: 10.3390/ma11071086
- Bitew, A., and Abebaw, Y. (2018). Vulvovaginal candidiasis: species distribution of Candida and their antifungal susceptibility pattern. *BMC Womens Health* 18:94. doi: 10.1186/s12905-018-0607-z
- Blostein, F., Levin-Sparenberg, E., Wagner, J., and Foxman, B. (2017). Recurrent vulvovaginal candidiasis. Ann. Epidemiol. 27, 575.e3–582.e3. doi: 10.1016/j. annepidem.2017.08.010
- Bradford, L. L., Chibucos, M. C., Ma, B., Bruno, V., and Ravel, J. (2017). Vaginal *Candida* spp. genomes from women with vulvovaginal candidiasis. *Pathog. Dis.* 75:ftx061. doi: 10.1093/femspd/ftx061
- Brown, S. E., Schwartz, J. A., Robinson, C. K., O'Hanlon, D. E., Bradford, L. L., He, X., et al. (2019). The vaginal microbiota and behavioral factors associated with genital *Candida albicans* detection in reproductive-age women. *Sex. Transm. Dis.* 46, 753–758. doi: 10.1097/OLQ.000000000001066
- Bujdáková, H. (2016). Management of Candida biofilms: state of knowledge and new options for prevention and eradication. *Fut. Microbiol.* 11, 235–251. doi: 10.2217/fmb.15.139
- Burgain, A., Pic, É, Markey, L., Tebbji, F., Kumamoto, C. A., and Sellam, A. (2019). A novel genetic circuitry governing hypoxic metabolic flexibility, commensalism and virulence in the fungal pathogen *Candida albicans*. *PLoS Pathog*. 15:e1007823. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1007823
- Carradori, S., Bizzarri, B., D'Ascenzio, M., De Monte, C., Grande, R., Rivanera, D., et al. (2017). Synthesis, biological evaluation and quantitative structureactive relationships of 1,3-thiazolidin-4-one derivatives. A promising chemical scaffold endowed with high antifungal potency and low cytotoxicity. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 140, 274–292. doi: 10.1016/j.ejmech.2017.09.026
- Carrano, G., Paulone, S., Lainz, L., Sevilla, M. J., Blasi, E., and Moragues, M. D. (2019). Anti-*Candida albicans* germ tube antibodies reduce in vitro growth and biofilm formation of *C. albicans. Rev. Iberoam. Micol.* 36, 9–16. doi: 10.1016/j. riam.2018.07.005
- Cassone, A. (2015). Vulvovaginal *Candida albicans* infections: pathogenesis, immunity and vaccine prospects. *BJOG* 122, 785–794. doi: 10.1111/1471-0528. 12994
- Cassone, A. (2018). The case for an expanded concept of trained immunity. *mBio* 9:e00570-18. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00570-18

- Cavalheiro, M., and Teixeira, M. C. (2018). Candida biofilms: threats, challenges, and promising strategies. *Front. Med.* 5:28. doi: 10.3389/fmed.2018.00028
- Černáková, L., Light, C., Salehi, B., Rogel-Castillo, C., Victoriano, M., Martorell, M., et al. (2019). Novel therapies for biofilm-based *Candida* spp. infections. *Adv. Exp. Med. Biol.* 1214, 93–123. doi: 10.1007/5584\_2019\_400
- Chandra, J., and Mukherjee, P. K. (2015). Candida biofilms: development, architecture, and resistance. *Microbiol. Spectr.* 3:10.1128/microbiolsec.MB-0020-2015. doi: 10.1128/microbiolspec.MB-0020-2015
- Chatterjee, S., Alampalli, S. V., Nageshan, R. K., Chettiar, S. T., Joshi, S., and Tatu, U. S. (2015). Draft genome of a commonly misdiagnosed multidrug resistant pathogen *Candida auris*. *BMC Genomics* 16:686. doi: 10.1186/s12864-015-1863-z
- Chen, C. G., Yang, Y. L., Tseng, K. Y., Shih, H. I., Liou, C. H., Lin, C. C., et al. (2009). Rep1p negatively regulating MDR1 efflux pump involved in drug resistance in *Candida albicans. Fungal Genet. Biol.* 46, 714–720. doi: 10.1016/j.fgb.2009.06. 003
- Chowdhary, A., Prakash, A., Sharma, C., Kordalewska, M., Kumar, A., Sarma, S., et al. (2018). A multicentre study of antifungal susceptibility patterns among 350 Candida auris isolates (2009-17) in India: role of the ERG11 and FKS1 genes in azole and echinocandin resistance. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother.* 73, 891–899. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkx480
- Connolly, L. A., Riccombeni, A., Grózer, Z., Holland, L. M., Lynch, D. B., Andes, D. R., et al. (2013). The APSES transcription factor Efg1 is a global regulator that controls morphogenesis and biofilm formation in *Candida parapsilosis*. *Mol. Microbiol.* 90, 36–53. doi: 10.1111/mmi.12345
- Conti, H. R., Shen, F., Nayyar, N., Stocum, E., Sun, J. N., Lindemann, M. J., et al. (2009). Th17 cells and IL-17 receptor signaling are essential for mucosal host defense against oral candidiasis. *J. Exp. Med.* 206, 299–311. doi: 10.1084/jem. 20081463
- Corsello, S., Spinillo, A., Osnengo, G., Penna, C., Guaschino, S., Beltrame, A., et al. (2003). An epidemiological survey of vulvovaginal candidiasis in Italy. *Eur J Obstet. Gynecol. Reprod. Biol.* 110, 66–72. doi: 10.1016/s0301-2115(03)00096-4
- Costa, M., Silva, A., Silva, A., Lima, V., Bezerra-Silva, P. C., Rocha, S., et al. (2017). Essential oils from leaves of medicinal plants of Brazilian flora: chemical composition and activity against *Candida* species. *Medicines* 4:27. doi: 10.3390/ medicines4020027
- Cota, E., and Hoyer, L. L. (2015). The Candida albicans agglutinin-like sequence family of adhesins: functional insights gained from structural analysis. *Fut. Microbiol.* 10, 1635–1548. doi: 10.2217/fmb.15.79
- Cui, J., Ren, B., Tong, Y., Dai, H., and Zhang, L. (2015). Synergistic combinations of antifungals and anti-virulence agents to fight against *Candida albicans*. *Virulence* 6, 362–371. doi: 10.1080/21505594.2015.1039885
- Dabiri, S., Shams-Ghahfarokhi, M., and Razzaghi-Abyaneh, M. (2018). Comparative analysis of proteinase, phospholipase, hydrophobicity and biofilm forming ability in *Candida* species isolated from clinical specimens. *J. Mycol. Med.* 28, 437–442. doi: 10.1016/j.mycmed.2018.04.009
- Davani-Davari, D., Negahdaripour, M., Karimzadeh, I., Seifan, M., Mohkam, M., Masoumi, S. J., et al. (2019). Prebiotics: definition, types, sources, mechanisms, and clinical applications. *Foods* 8:92. doi: 10.3390/foods8030092
- Denning, D. W., Kneale, M., Sobel, J. D., and Rautemaa-Richardson, R. (2018). Global burden of recurrent vulvovaginal candidiasis: a systematic review. *Lancet. Infect. Dis.* 18, e339–e347. doi: 10.1016/S1473-3099(18) 30103-8
- de Barros, P. P., Rossoni, R. D., de Souza, C. M., Scorzoni, L., Fenley, J. C., and Junqueira, J. C. (2020). Candida biofilms: an update on developmental mechanisms and therapeutic challenges. *Mycopathologia* 185, 415–424. doi: 10.1007/s11046-020-00445-w
- de Oliveira, D., Silva, L. B., da Silva, B. V., Borges, T. C., Marques, B. C., Dos Santos, M. B., et al. (2019). A new acridone with antifungal properties against *Candida* spp. and dermatophytes, and antibiofilm activity against *C. albicans. J. Appl. Microbiol.* 127, 1362–1372. doi: 10.1111/jam.14381
- de Souza, T. B., Brito, K. M., Silva, N. C., Rocha, R. P., de Sousa, G. F., Duarte, L. P., et al. (2016). New eugenol glucoside-based derivative shows fungistatic and fungicidal activity against opportunistic *Candida glabrata*. *Chem. Biol. Drug Des.* 87, 83–90. doi: 10.1111/cbdd.12625
- Diezmann, S., Leach, M. D., and Cowen, L. E. (2015). Functional divergence of Hsp90 genetic interactions in biofilm and planktonic cellular states. *PLoS One* 10:e0137947. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0137947

- Dižová, S., and Bujdáková, H. (2017). Properties and role of the quorum sensing molecule farnesol in relation to the yeast *Candida albicans*. *Die Pharm.* 72, 307–312. doi: 10.1691/ph.2017.6174
- Djohan, V., Angora, K. E., Vanga-Bosson, A. H., Konaté, A., Kassi, K. F., Kiki-Barro, P., et al. (2019). Recurrent vulvo-vaginal candidiasis in Abidjan (Côte d'Ivoire): aetiology and associated factors. *J. Mycol. Med.* 29, 127–131. doi: 10.1016/j.mycmed.2019.04.002
- Doerflinger, S. Y., Throop, A. L., and Herbst-Kralovetz, M. M. (2014). Bacteria in the vaginal microbiome alter the innate immune response and barrier properties of the human vaginal epithelia in a species-specific manner. J. Infect. Dis. 209, 1989–1999. doi: 10.1093/infdis/jiu004
- Dominguez, E., Zarnowski, R., Sanchez, H., Covelli, A. S., Westler, W. M., Azadi, P., et al. (2018). Conservation and divergence in the *Candida* species biofilm matrix mannan-glucan complex structure, function, and genetic control. *mBio* 9:e00451-18. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00451-18
- Duggan, S., Leonhardt, I., Hünniger, K., and Kurzai, O. (2015). Host response to *Candida albicans* bloodstream infection and sepsis. *Virulence* 6, 316–326. doi: 10.4161/21505594.2014.988096
- El-Houssaini, H. H., Elnabawy, O. M., Nasser, H. A., and Elkhatib, W. F. (2019). Correlation between antifungal resistance and virulence factors in *Candida albicans* recovered from vaginal specimens. *Microb. Pathog.* 128, 13–19. doi: 10.1016/j.micpath.2018.12.028
- Fan, Y., He, H., Dong, Y., and Pan, H. (2013). Hyphae-specific genes HGC1, ALS3, HWP1, and ECE1 and relevant signaling pathways in *Candida albicans*. *Mycopathologia* 176, 329–335. doi: 10.1007/s11046-013-9684-6
- Feng, W., Yang, J., Pan, Y., Xi, Z., Qiao, Z., and Ma, Y. (2016). The correlation of virulence, pathogenicity, and itraconazole resistance with SAP activity in *Candida albicans* strains. *Can. J. Microbiol.* 62, 173–178. doi: 10.1139/cjm-2015-0457
- Fernandes, L., Oliveira, A., Henriques, M., and Rodrigues, M. E. (2020). Honey as a strategy to fight *Candida tropicalis* in mixed-biofilms with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. *Antibiotics* 9:43. doi: 10.3390/antibiotics9020043
- Finkel, J. S., Xu, W., Huang, D., Hill, E. M., Desai, J. V., Woolford, C. A., et al. (2012). Portrait of *Candida albicans* adherence regulators. *PLoS Pathog.* 8:e1002525. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1002525
- Flemming, H. C., and Wingender, J. (2010). The biofilm matrix. *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.* 8, 623–633. doi: 10.1038/nrmicro2415
- Fosso, M. Y., Shrestha, S. K., Thamban Chandrika, N., Dennis, E. K., Green, K. D., and Garneau-Tsodikova, S. (2018). Differential effects of linkers on the activity of amphiphilic tobramycin antifungals. *Molecules* 23:899. doi: 10.3390/ molecules23040899
- Freire, F., de Barros, P. P., Pereira, C. A., Junqueira, J. C., and Jorge, A. (2018). Photodynamic inactivation in the expression of the *Candida albicans* genes ALS3, HWP1, BCR1, TEC1, CPH1, and EFG1 in biofilms. *Lasers Med. Sci.* 33, 1447–1454. doi: 10.1007/s10103-018-2487-8
- Gabrielli, E., Pericolini, E., Ballet, N., Roselletti, E., Sabbatini, S., Mosci, P., et al. (2018). Saccharomyces cerevisiae-based probiotic as novel anti-fungal and antiinflammatory agent for therapy of vaginal candidiasis. Benef. Microbes 9, 219–230. doi: 10.3920/BM2017.0099
- Ganguly, S., Bishop, A. C., Xu, W., Ghosh, S., Nickerson, K. W., Lanni, F., et al. (2011). Zap1 control of cell-cell signaling in *Candida albicans* biofilms. *Eukaryot. Cell* 10, 1448–1454. doi: 10.1128/EC.05196-11
- Ghasemi, M., Etemadi, A., Nedaei, M., Chiniforush, N., and Pourhajibagher, M. (2019). Antimicrobial efficacy of photodynamic therapy using two different light sources on the titanium-adherent biofilms of Aggregatibacter actinomycetemcomitans: an in vitro study. Photodiagn. Photodyn. Ther. 26, 85–89. doi: 10.1016/j.pdpdt.2019.03.004
- Gille, C., Böer, B., Marschal, M., Urschitz, M. S., Heinecke, V., Hund, V., et al. (2016). Effect of probiotics on vaginal health in pregnancy. EFFPRO, a randomized controlled trial. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 215, 608.e1–608.e7. doi: 10.1016/j.ajog.2016.06.021
- Glazier, V. E., Murante, T., Murante, D., Koselny, K., Liu, Y., Kim, D., et al. (2017). Genetic analysis of the *Candida albicans* biofilm transcription factor network using simple and complex haploinsufficiency. *PLoS Genet.* 13:e1006948. doi: 10.1371/journal.pgen.1006948
- Gonçalves, B., Ferreira, C., Alves, C. T., Henriques, M., Azeredo, J., and Silva, S. (2016). Vulvovaginal candidiasis: epidemiology, microbiology and risk factors. *Crit. Rev. Microbiol.* 42, 905–927. doi: 10.3109/1040841X.2015.1091805

- Gu, W., Xu, D., and Sun, S. (2015). In vitro models to study Candida albicans biofilms. J. Pharm. Drug Dev. 3:301. doi: 10.15744/2348-9782.3.301
- Guan, G., Xie, J., Tao, L., Nobile, C. J., Sun, Y., Cao, C., et al. (2013). Bcr1 plays a central role in the regulation of opaque cell filamentation in *Candida albicans*. *Mol. Microbiol.* 89, 732–750. doi: 10.1111/mmi.12310
- Gulati, M., and Nobile, C. J. (2016). Candida albicans biofilms: development, regulation, and molecular mechanisms. *Microbes Infect.* 18, 310–321. doi: 10. 1016/j.micinf.2016.01.002
- Guzel, A. B., Ilkit, M., Akar, T., Burgut, R., and Demir, S. C. (2011). Evaluation of risk factors in patients with vulvovaginal candidiasis and the value of chromID Candida agar versus CHROMagar Candida for recovery and presumptive identification of vaginal yeast species. *Med. Mycol.* 49, 16–25. doi: 10.3109/ 13693786.2010.497972
- Hall, C. L., and Lee, V. T. (2018). Cyclic-di-GMP regulation of virulence in bacterial pathogens. Wiley Interdiscip. Rev. RNA 9:10.1002/wrna.1454. doi: 10. 1002/wrna.1454
- Handalishy, I. I., Behery, M. A., Elkhouly, M., Farag, E. A., and Elsheikh, W. A. (2014). Comparative study between probiotic vaginal tampons and oral metronidazole in treatmentof bacterial vaginosis. *Al\_Azhar. Assiut. Med. J.* 12(Suppl. 2), 185–203.
- Harriott, M. M., Lilly, E. A., Rodriguez, T. E., Fidel, P. L., and Noverr, M. C. (2010). *Candida albicans* forms biofilms on the vaginal mucosa. *Microbiology* 156(Pt 12), 3635–3644. doi: 10.1099/mic.0.039354-0
- Harrison, J. J., Ceri, H., Yerly, J., Rabiei, M., Hu, Y., Martinuzzi, R., et al. (2007a). Metal ions may suppress or enhance cellular differentiation in *Candida albicans* and *Candida tropicalis* biofilms. *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.* 73, 4940–4949. doi: 10.1128/AEM.02711-06
- Harrison, J. J., Turner, R. J., and Ceri, H. (2007b). A subpopulation of *Candida albicans* and *Candida tropicalis* biofilm cells are highly tolerant to chelating agents. *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.* 272, 172–181. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2007. 00745.x
- Hewadmal, N., and Jangra, S. (2019). A review on probiotic and health benefits of probiotics. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. Appl. Sci.* 8, 1863–1880. doi: 10.20546/ijcmas. 2019.805.218
- Hirota, K., Yumoto, H., Sapaar, B., Matsuo, T., Ichikawa, T., and Miyake, Y. (2017). Pathogenic factors in Candida biofilm-related infectious diseases. J. Appl. Microbiol. 122, 321–330. doi: 10.1111/jam.13330
- Holland, L. M., Schröder, M. S., Turner, S. A., Taff, H., Andes, D., Grózer, Z., et al. (2014). Comparative phenotypic analysis of the major fungal pathogens *Candida parapsilosis* and *Candida albicans*. *PLoS Pathog*. 10:e1004365. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1004365
- Hoque, J., Akkapeddi, P., Yadav, V., Manjunath, G. B., Uppu, D. S., Konai, M. M., et al. (2015). Broad spectrum antibacterial and antifungal polymeric paint materials: synthesis, structure-activity relationship, and membrane-active mode of action. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 7, 1804–1815. doi: 10.1021/am507482y
- Hu, J., Guan, G., Dai, Y., Tao, L., Zhang, J., Li, H., et al. (2016). Phenotypic diversity and correlation between white-opaque switching and the CAI microsatellite locus in *Candida albicans. Curr. Genet.* 62, 585–593. doi: 10.1007/s00294-016-0564-8
- Ichikawa, T., Kutsumi, Y., Sadanaga, J., Ishikawa, M., Sugita, D., and Ikeda, R. (2019). Adherence and cytotoxicity of *Candida* spp. to HaCaT and A549 cells. *Med. Mycol. J.* 60, 5–10. doi: 10.3314/mmj.18-00011
- Inglis, D. O., and Sherlock, G. (2013). Ras signaling gets fine-tuned: regulation of multiple pathogenic traits of *Candida albicans. Eukaryot. Cell* 12, 1316–1325. doi: 10.1128/EC.00094-13
- Jaeger, M., Plantinga, T. S., Joosten, L. A., Kullberg, B. J., and Netea, M. G. (2013). Genetic basis for recurrent vulvo-vaginal candidiasis. *Curr. Infect. Dis. Rep.* 15, 136–142. doi: 10.1007/s11908-013-0319-3
- Jiang, L., Wang, J., Asghar, F., Snyder, N., and Cunningham, K. W. (2018). CaGdt1 plays a compensatory role for the calcium pump CaPmr1 in the regulation of calcium signaling and cell wall integrity signaling in *Candida albicans. Cell Commun. Signal.* 16:33. doi: 10.1186/s12964-018-0246-x
- Joseph, M. R., Al-Hakami, A. M., Assiry, M. M., Jamil, A. S., Assiry, A. M., Shaker, M. A., et al. (2015). In vitro anti-yeast activity of chloramphenicol: a preliminary report. J. Mycol. Med. 25, 17–22. doi: 10.1016/j.mycmed.2014.10.019
- Kadry, A. A., El-Ganiny, A. M., and El-Baz, A. M. (2018). Relationship between Sap prevalence and biofilm formation among resistant clinical isolates of *Candida albicans. Afr. Health Sci.* 18, 1166–1174. doi: 10.4314/ahs.v18i4.37

- Kalaiarasan, K., Singh, R., and Chaturvedula, L. (2018). Changing virulence factors among vaginal non-albicans *Candida* species. *Indian J. Med. Microbiol.* 36, 364–368. doi: 10.4103/ijmm.IJMM\_18\_94
- Kalia, N., Singh, J., and Kaur, M. (2019). Immunopathology of recurrent vulvovaginal infections: new aspects and research directions. *Front. Immunol.* 10:2034. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2019.02034
- Karkowska-Kuleta, J., Satala, D., Bochenska, O., Rapala-Kozik, M., and Kozik, A. (2019). Moonlighting proteins are variably exposed at the cell surfaces of *Candida glabrata, Candida parapsilosis* and *Candida tropicalis* under certain growth conditions. *BMC Microbiol.* 19:149. doi: 10.1186/s12866-019-1524-5
- Khosravi Rad, K., Falahati, M., Roudbary, M., Farahyar, S., and Nami, S. (2016). Overexpression of MDR-1 and CDR-2 genes in fluconazole resistance of *Candida albicans* isolated from patients with vulvovaginal candidiasis. *Curr. Med. Mycol.* 2, 24–29. doi: 10.18869/acadpub.cmm.2.4.24
- Köhler, G. A., Assefa, S., and Reid, G. (2012). Probiotic interference of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GR-1 and Lactobacillus reuteri RC-14 with the opportunistic fungal pathogen *Candida albicans. Infect. Dis. Obstet. Gynecol.* 2012, 636474. doi: 10.1155/2012/636474
- Kovács, R., Nagy, F., Tóth, Z., Bozó, A., Balázs, B., and Majoros, L. (2019). Synergistic effect of nikkomycin Z with caspofungin and micafungin against *Candida albicans* and *Candida parapsilosis* biofilms. *Lett. Appl. Microbiol.* 69, 271–278. doi: 10.1111/lam.13204
- Kumar, D., Banerjee, T., Pratap, C. B., and Tilak, R. (2015). Itraconazole-resistant *Candida auris* with phospholipase, proteinase and hemolysin activity from a case of vulvovaginitis. *J. Infect. Dev. Ctries* 9, 435–437. doi: 10.3855/jidc.4582
- Leiva-Peláez, O., Gutiérrez-Escobedo, G., López-Fuentes, E., Cruz-Mora, J., De Las Peñas, A., and Castaño, I. (2018). Molecular characterization of the silencing complex SIR in *Candida glabrata* hyperadherent clinical isolates. *Fungal Genet. Biol.* 118, 21–31. doi: 10.1016/j.fgb.2018.05.005
- Leonhard, V., Alasino, R. V., Munoz, A., and Beltramo, D. M. (2018). Silver nanoparticles with high loading capacity of amphotericin B: characterization, bactericidal and antifungal effects. *Curr. Drug Deliv.* 15, 850–859. doi: 10.2174/ 1567201814666170918162337
- Li, X., Wu, B., Chen, H., Nan, K., Jin, Y., Sun, L., et al. (2018). Recent developments in smart antibacterial surfaces to inhibit biofilm formation and bacterial infections. J. Mater. Chem. B 6, 4274–4292. doi: 10.1039/c8tb01245h
- Li, X., Zhao, Y., Huang, X., Yu, C., Yang, Y., and Sun, S. (2017). Ambroxol hydrochloride combined with fluconazole reverses the resistance of *Candida albicans* to fluconazole. *Front. Cell Infect Microbiol.* 7:124. doi: 10.3389/fcimb. 2017.00124
- Lindsay, A. K., Deveau, A., Piispanen, A. E., and Hogan, D. A. (2012). Farnesol and cyclic AMP signaling effects on the hypha-to-yeast transition in *Candida albicans. Eukaryot. Cell* 11, 1219–1225. doi: 10.1128/EC.00144-12
- Liu, Y., and Filler, S. G. (2011). Candida albicans Als3, a multifunctional adhesin and invasin. *Eukaryot. Cell* 10, 168–173. doi: 10.1128/EC.00279-10
- Madende, M., Albertyn, J., Sebolai, O., and Pohl, C. H. (2020). Caenorhabditis elegans as a model animal for investigating fungal pathogenesis. *Med. Microbiol. Immunol.* 209, 1–13. doi: 10.1007/s00430-019-00635-4
- Mahmoudi Rad, M., Zafarghandi, S., Abbasabadi, B., and Tavallaee, M. (2011). The epidemiology of *Candida* species associated with vulvovaginal candidiasis in an Iranian patient population. *Eur. J. Obstet. Gynecol. Reprod. Biol.* 155, 199–203. doi: 10.1016/j.ejogrb.2010.11.022
- Marak, M. B., and Dhanashree, B. (2018). Antifungal susceptibility and biofilm production of *Candida* spp. isolated from clinical samples. *Int. J. Microbiol.* 2018:7495218. doi: 10.1155/2018/7495218
- Maraki, S., Mavromanolaki, V. E., Stafylaki, D., Nioti, E., Hamilos, G., and Kasimati, A. (2019). Epidemiology and antifungal susceptibility patterns of Candida isolates from Greek women with vulvovaginal candidiasis. *Mycoses* 62, 692–697. doi: 10.1111/myc.12946
- Martins, N., Ferreira, I. C. F. R., Henriques, M., and Silva, S. (2016). In vitro anti-Candida activity of *Glycyrrhiza glabra L. Ind. Crop. Prod.* 83, 81–85. doi: 10.1016/j.indcrop.2015.12.029
- Mathé, L., and Van Dijck, P. (2013). Recent insights into *Candida albicans* biofilm resistance mechanisms. *Curr. Genet.* 59, 251–264. doi: 10.1007/s00294-013-0400-3
- McCall, A. D., Kumar, R., and Edgerton, M. (2018). Candida albicans Sf11/Sf12 regulatory network drives the formation of pathogenic microcolonies. PLoS Pathog. 14:e1007316. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1007316

- McCall, A. D., Pathirana, R. U., Prabhakar, A., Cullen, P. J., and Edgerton, M. (2019). *Candida albicans* biofilm development is governed by cooperative attachment and adhesion maintenance proteins. *NPJ Biofilms Microbiomes* 5:21. doi: 10.1038/s41522-019-0094-5
- Mehmood, A., Liu, G., Wang, X., Meng, G., Wang, C., and Liu, Y. (2019). Fungal quorum-sensing molecules and inhibitors with potential antifungal activity: a review. *Molecules* 24:1950. doi: 10.3390/molecules24101950
- Mendelsohn, S., Pinsky, M., Weissman, Z., and Kornitzer, D. (2017). Regulation of the *Candida albicans* hypha-inducing transcription factor Ume6 by the CDK1 cyclins Cln3 and Hgc1. *mSphere* 2:e00248-16. doi: 10.1128/mSphere.00248-16
- Min, K., Biermann, A., Hogan, D. A., and Konopka, J. B. (2018). Genetic analysis of NDT80 family transcription factors in *Candida albicans* using new CRISPR-Cas9 approaches. *mSphere* 3:e00545-18. doi: 10.1128/mSphere.00545-18
- Min, K., Naseem, S., and Konopka, J. B. (2019). N-acetylglucosamine regulates morphogenesis and virulence pathways in fungi. J. Fungi 6:E8. doi: 10.3390/ jof6010008
- Mina, E. G., and Marques, C. N. (2016). Interaction of *Staphylococcus aureus* persister cells with the host when in a persister state and following awakening. *Sci. Rep.* 6:31342. doi: 10.1038/srep31342
- Miró, M. S., Rodríguez, E., Vigezzi, C., Icely, P. A., Gonzaga de Freitas-Araújo, M., Riera, F. O., et al. (2017). Vulvovaginal candidiasis: an old disease with new challenges. *Rev. Iberoam. Micol.* 34, 65–71. doi: 10.1016/j.riam.2016. 11.006
- Mitchell, K. F., Zarnowski, R., Sanchez, H., Edward, J. A., Reinicke, E. L., Nett, J. E., et al. (2015). Community participation in biofilm matrix assembly and function. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* 112, 4092–4097. doi: 10.1073/pnas.14214 37112
- Miyazima, T. Y., Ishikawa, K. H., Mayer, M., Saad, S., and Nakamae, A. (2017). Cheese supplemented with probiotics reduced the Candida levels in denture wearers-RCT. Oral Dis. 23, 919–925. doi: 10.1111/odi.12669
- Modrzewska, B., and Kurnatowski, P. (2015). Adherence of *Candida* sp. to host tissues and cells as one of its pathogenicity features. *Ann. Parasitol.* 61, 3–9.
- Moher, D., Shamseer, L., Clarke, M., Ghersi, D., Liberati, A., Petticrew, M., et al. (2015). Preferred reporting items for systematic review and meta-analysis protocols (PRISMA-P) 2015 statement. *Syst. Rev.* 4:1. doi: 10.1186/2046-4053-4-1
- Monika, S. (2019). Virulence factors in *Candida* species. *Curr. Protein Pept. Sci.* 21, 313–323. doi: 10.2174/1389203720666190722152415
- Monteiro, D. R., Arias, L. S., Fernandes, R. A., Deszo da Silva, L. F., de Castilho, M., da Rosa, T. O., et al. (2017). Antifungal activity of tyrosol and farnesol used in combination against *Candida* species in the planktonic state or forming biofilms. *J. Appl. Microbiol.* 123, 392–400. doi: 10.1111/jam.13513
- Muzny, C. A., and Schwebke, J. R. (2015). Biofilms: an underappreciated mechanism of treatment failure and recurrence in vaginal infections. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 61, 601–606. doi: 10.1093/cid/civ353
- Naglik, J. R., Fidel, P. L. Jr., and Odds, F. C. (2008). Animal models of mucosal Candida infection. *FEMS Microbiol Lett.* 283, 129–139. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6968.2008.01160.x
- Navarro-Arias, M. J., Defosse, T. A., Dementhon, K., Csonka, K., Mellado-Mojica, E., Dias Valério, A., et al. (2016). Disruption of protein mannosylation affects *Candida guilliermondii* cell wall, immune sensing, and virulence. *Front. Microbiol.* 7:1951. doi: 10.3389/fmicb.2016.01951
- Negri, M., Silva, S., Henriques, M., Azeredo, J., Svidzinski, T., and Oliveira, R. (2011). Candida tropicalis biofilms: artificial urine, urinary catheters and flow model. *Med. Mycol.* 49, 739–747. doi: 10.3109/13693786.2011.560619
- Neppelenbroek, K. H., Seó, R. S., Urban, V. M., Silva, S., Dovigo, L. N., Jorge, J. H., et al. (2014). Identification of *Candida* species in the clinical laboratory: a review of conventional, commercial, and molecular techniques. *Oral dis.* 20, 329–344. doi: 10.1111/odi.12123
- Nett, J. E. (2016). The host's reply to Candida biofilm. Pathogens 5:33. doi: 10.3390/ pathogens5010033
- Nett, J. E., Sanchez, H., Cain, M. T., Ross, K. M., and Andes, D. R. (2011). Interface of *Candida albicans* biofilm matrix-associated drug resistance and cell wall integrity regulation. *Eukaryot. Cell* 10, 1660–1669. doi: 10.1128/EC.05126-11
- Nett, J. E., Zarnowski, R., Cabezas-Olcoz, J., Brooks, E. G., Bernhardt, J., Marchillo, K., et al. (2015). Host contributions to construction of three device-associated *Candida albicans* biofilms. *Infect. Immun.* 83, 4630–4638. doi: 10.1128/IAI. 00931-15

- Ng, H., and Dean, N. (2017). Dramatic improvement of CRISPR/Cas9 editing in *Candida albicans* by increased single guide RNA expression. *mSphere* 2:e00385-16. doi: 10.1128/mSphere.00385-16
- Ni, L., Bruce, C., Hart, C., Leigh-Bell, J., Gelperin, D., Umansky, L., et al. (2009). Dynamic and complex transcription factor binding during an inducible response in yeast. *Genes Dev.* 23, 1351–1363. doi: 10.1101/gad.1781909
- Ning, Y., Ling, J., and Wu, C. D. (2015). Synergistic effects of tea catechin epigallocatechin gallate and antimycotics against oral *Candida* species. Arch. Oral Biol. 60, 1565–1570. doi: 10.1016/j.archoralbio.2015.07.001
- Nobile, C. J., Fox, E. P., Nett, J. E., Sorrells, T. R., Mitrovich, Q. M., Hernday, A. D., et al. (2012). A recently evolved transcriptional network controls biofilm development in *Candida albicans. Cell* 148, 126–138. doi: 10.1016/j.cell.2011. 10.048
- Nweze, E. I., Ghannoum, A., Chandra, J., Ghannoum, M. A., and Mukherjee, P. K. (2012). Development of a 96-well catheter-based microdilution method to test antifungal susceptibility of Candida biofilms. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 67, 149–153. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkr429
- Olveira, G., and González-Molero, I. (2016). An update on probiotics, prebiotics and symbiotics in clinical nutrition. *Endocrinol. Nutr.* 63, 482–494. doi: 10. 1016/j.endonu.2016.07.006
- Orsi, C. F., Borghi, E., Colombari, B., Neglia, R. G., Quaglino, D., Ardizzoni, A., et al. (2014). Impact of *Candida albicans* hyphal wall protein 1 (HWP1) genotype on biofilm production and fungal susceptibility to microglial cells. *Microb. Pathog.* 6, 20–27. doi: 10.1016/j.micpath.2014.03.003
- Ozcan, K., Ilkit, M., Ates, A., Turac-Bicer, A., and Demirhindi, H. (2010). Performance of chromogenic *Candida agar* and CHROMagar Candida in recovery and presumptive identification of monofungal and polyfungal vaginal isolates. *Med. Mycol.* 48, 29–34. doi: 10.3109/13693780802713224
- Padder, S. A., Prasad, R., and Shah, A. H. (2018). Quorum sensing: a less known mode of communication among fungi. *Microbiol. Res.* 210, 51–58. doi: 10.1016/ j.micres.2018.03.007
- Palmieri, V., Bugli, F., Cacaci, M., Perini, G., Maio, F., Delogu, G., et al. (2018). Graphene oxide coatings prevent *Candida albicans* biofilm formation with a controlled release of curcumin-loaded nanocomposites. *Nanomedicine* 13, 2867–2879. doi: 10.2217/nnm-2018-0183
- Paluch, E., Rewak-Soroczyńska, J., Jędrusik, I., Mazurkiewicz, E., and Jermakow, K. (2020). Prevention of biofilm formation by quorum quenching. *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.* 104, 1871–1881. doi: 10.1007/s00253-020-10349-w
- Pankey, G., Ashcraft, D., Kahn, H., and Ismail, A. (2014). Time-kill assay and etest evaluation for synergy with polymyxin B and fluconazole against *Candida* glabrata. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 58, 5795–5800. doi: 10.1128/AAC. 03035-14
- Paulone, S., Ardizzoni, A., Tavanti, A., Piccinelli, S., Rizzato, C., Lupetti, A., et al. (2017). The synthetic killer peptide KP impairs *Candida albicans* biofilm in vitro. *PLoS One* 12:e0181278. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0181278
- Pericolini, E., Gabrielli, E., Amacker, M., Kasper, L., Roselletti, E., Luciano, E., et al. (2015). Secretory aspartyl proteinases cause vaginitis and can mediate vaginitis caused by *Candida albicans* in mice. *mBio* 6:e00724. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00724-15
- Peters, B. M., Yano, J., Noverr, M. C., and Fidel, P. L. Jr. (2014). Candida vaginitis: when opportunism knocks, the host responds. *PLoS Pathog.* 10:e1003965. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat.1003965
- Pierce, C. G., Vila, T., Romo, J. A., Montelongo-Jauregui, D., Wall, G., Ramasubramanian, A., et al. (2017). The *Candida albicans* biofilm matrix: composition, structure and function. *J. Fungi* 3:14. doi: 10.3390/jof3010014
- Pohlers, S., Martin, R., Krüger, T., Hellwig, D., Hänel, F., Kniemeyer, O., et al. (2017). Lipid signaling via Pkh1/2 regulates fungal CO2 sensing through the kinase Sch9. *mBio* 8:e02211-16. doi: 10.1128/mBio.02211-16
- Polke, M., Leonhardt, I., Kurzai, O., and Jacobsen, I. D. (2018). Farnesol signalling in *Candida albicans* - more than just communication. *Crit. Rev. Microbiol.* 44, 230–243. doi: 10.1080/1040841X.2017.1337711
- Raman, N., Lee, M. R., Lynn, D. M., and Palecek, S. P. (2015). Antifungal activity of 14-Helical β-peptides against planktonic cells and biofilms of *Candida* Species. *Pharmaceuticals* 8, 483–503. doi: 10.3390/ph8030483
- Richardson, J. P., Willems, H., Moyes, D. L., Shoaie, S., Barker, K. S., Tan, S. L., et al. (2018). Candidalysin drives epithelial signaling, neutrophil recruitment, and immunopathology at the vaginal mucosa. *Infect. Immun.* 86:e00645-17. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00645-17

- Richmond, G. S., and Smith, T. K. (2011). Phospholipases A<sub>1</sub>. Int. J. Mol. Sci. 12, 588–612. doi: 10.3390/ijms12010588
- Riekhof, W. R., and Nickerson, K. W. (2017). Quorum sensing in *Candida albicans:* farnesol versus farnesoic acid. *FEBS Lett.* 591, 1637–1640. doi: 10.1002/1873-3468.12694
- Rodríguez-Cerdeira, C., Gregorio, M. C., Molares-Vila, A., López-Barcenas, A., Fabbrocini, G., Bardhi, B., et al. (2019). Biofilms and vulvovaginal candidiasis. *Coll. Surf. B Biointerfaces* 174, 110–125. doi: 10.1016/j.colsurfb.2018.11.011
- Samaranayake, Y. H., Ye, J., Yau, J. Y., Cheung, B. P., and Samaranayake, L. P. (2005). In vitro method to study antifungal perfusion in Candida biofilms. J. Clin. Microbiol. 43, 818–825. doi: 10.1128/JCM.43.2.818-825.2005
- Saxena, P., Joshi, Y., Rawat, K., and Bisht, R. (2019). Biofilms: architecture, resistance, quorum sensing and control mechanisms. *Indian J. Microbiol.* 59, 3–12. doi: 10.1007/s12088-018-0757-6
- Schaller, M., Bein, M., Korting, H. C., Baur, S., Hamm, G., Monod, M., et al. (2003). The secreted aspartyl proteinases Sap1 and Sap2 cause tissue damage in an in vitro model of vaginal candidiasis based on reconstituted human vaginal epithelium. *Infect. Immun.* 71, 3227–3234. doi: 10.1128/iai.71.6.3227-3234.2003
- Schaller, M., Korting, H. C., Borelli, C., Hamm, G., and Hube, B. (2005). Candida albicans-secreted aspartic proteinases modify the epithelial cytokine response in an in vitro model of vaginal candidiasis. Infect. Immun. 73, 2758–2765. doi: 10.1128/IAI.73.5.2758-2765.2005
- Seleem, D., Benso, B., Noguti, J., Pardi, V., and Murata, R. M. (2016). In vitro and in vivo antifungal activity of lichochalcone-A against *Candida albicans* biofilms. *PLoS One* 11:e0157188. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0157188
- Shao, J., Lu, K., Tian, G., Cui, Y., Yan, Y., Wang, T., et al. (2015). Labscale preparations of *Candida albicans* and dual *Candida albicans-Candida glabrata* biofilms on the surface of medical-grade polyvinyl chloride (PVC) perfusion tube using a modified gravity-supported free-flow biofilm incubator (GS-FFBI). *J. Microbiol. Methods* 109, 41–48. doi: 10.1016/j.mimet.2014. 12.006
- Sharma, M., Biswas, D., Kotwal, A., Thakuria, B., Kakati, B., Chauhan, B. S., et al. (2015). Ibuprofen-mediated reversal of fluconazole resistance in clinical isolates of Candida. J. Clin. Diagn. Res. 9, DC20–DC22. doi: 10.7860/JCDR/2015/10094. 5494
- Shrestha, S. K., Garzan, A., and Garneau-Tsodikova, S. (2017). Novel alkylated azoles as potent antifungals. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 133, 309–318. doi: 10.1016/j. ejmech.2017.03.075
- Shukla, A., and Sobel, J. D. (2019). Vulvovaginitis caused by *Candida* species following antibiotic exposure. *Curr. Infect. Dis. Rep.* 21:44. doi: 10.1007/s11908-019-0700-y
- Silva, N. C., Nery, J. M., and Dias, A. L. (2014). Aspartic proteinases of *Candida* spp.: role in pathogenicity and antifungal resistance. *Mycoses* 57, 1–11. doi: 10.1111/myc.12095
- Silva, S., Negri, M., Henriques, M., Oliveira, R., Williams, D. W., and Azeredo, J. (2012). Candida glabrata, Candida parapsilosis and Candida tropicalis: biology, epidemiology, pathogenicity and antifungal resistance. FEMS Microbiol. Rev. 36, 288–305. doi: 10.1111/j.1574-6976.2011.00278.x
- Silva-Dias, A., Miranda, I. M., Branco, J., Cobrado, L., Monteiro-Soares, M., Pina-Vaz, C., et al. (2015). In vitro antifungal activity and in vivo antibiofilm activity of cerium nitrate against *Candida* species. *J. Antimicrob. Chemother*. 70, 1083–1093. doi: 10.1093/jac/dku511
- Smith, S. B., and Ravel, J. (2017). The vaginal microbiota, host defence and reproductive physiology. *J. Physiol.* 595, 451–463. doi: 10.1113/JP271694
- Sobel, J. D. (2007). Vulvovaginal candidosis. *Lancet* 369, 1961–1971. doi: 10.1016/ S0140-6736(07)60917-9
- Srinivasan, A., Leung, K. P., Lopez-Ribot, J. L., and Ramasubramanian, A. K. (2013). High-throughput nano-biofilm microarray for antifungal drug discovery. *mBio* 4:e00331-13. doi: 10.1128/mBio.00331-13
- Srinivasan, A., Lopez-Ribot, J. L., and Ramasubramanian, A. K. (2012). *Candida albicans* biofilm chip (CaBChip) for high-throughput antifungal drug screening. J. Vis. Exp. e3845. doi: 10.3791/3845
- Stergiopoulou, T., Meletiadis, J., Sein, T., Papaioannidou, P., Tsiouris, I., Roilides, E., et al. (2009). Comparative pharmacodynamic interaction analysis between ciprofloxacin, moxifloxacin and levofloxacin and antifungal agents against *Candida albicans* and *Aspergillus fumigatus*. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 63, 343–348. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkn473

- Stoddart, C. A., Maidji, E., Galkina, S. A., Kosikova, G., Rivera, J. M., Moreno, B. R., et al. (2011). Superior human leukocyte reconstitution and susceptibility to vaginal HIV transmission in humanized NOD-scid IL-2Rγ(-/-) (NSG) BLT mice. Virology 417, 154–160. doi: 10.1016/j.virol.2011.05.013
- Su, C., Yu, J., and Lu, Y. (2018). Hyphal development in *Candida albicans* from different cell states. *Curr. Genet.* 64, 1239–1243. doi: 10.1007/s00294-018-0845-5
- Sui, X., Yan, L., and Jiang, Y. Y. (2017). The vaccines and antibodies associated with Als3p for treatment of *Candida albicans* infections. *Vaccine* 35, 5786–5793. doi: 10.1016/j.vaccine.2017.08.082
- Tan, Y., Leonhard, M., Ma, S., Moser, D., and Schneider-Stickler, B. (2017). Dispersal of single and mixed non-albicans *Candida* species biofilms by  $\beta$ -1,3-glucanase in vitro. *Microb. Pathog.* 113, 342–347. doi: 10.1016/j.micpath.2017. 10.057
- Tan, Y., Ma, S., Leonhard, M., Moser, D., and Schneider-Stickler, B. (2018). β-1,3glucanase disrupts biofilm formation and increases antifungal susceptibility of Candida albicans DAY185.ăInt. J. Biol.ă108, 942–946. doi: 10.1016/j.ijbiomac. 2017.11.003
- Tanaka, Y., Sasaki, M., Ito, F., Aoyama, T., Sato-Okamoto, M., Takahashi-Nakaguchi, A., et al. (2016). KRE5 suppression induces cell wall stress and alternative ER stress response required for maintaining cell wall integrity in *Candida glabrata. PLoS One* 11:e0161371. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0161371
- Tang, Y., Yu, F., Huang, L., and Hu, Z. (2019). The changes of antifungal susceptibilities caused by the phenotypic switching of *Candida* species in 229 patients with vulvovaginal candidiasis. J. Clin. Lab. Anal. 33:e22644. doi: 10. 1002/jcla.22644
- Thamban Chandrika, N., Shrestha, S. K., Ngo, H. X., and Howard, K. C. (2018). Novel fluconazole derivatives with promising antifungal activity. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 26, 573–580. doi: 10.1016/j.bmc.2017.12.018
- Theberge, S., Semlali, A., Alamri, A., Leung, K. P., and Rouabhia, M. (2013). C. albicans growth, transition, biofilm formation, and gene expression modulation by antimicrobial decapeptide KSL-W. *BMC Microbiol.* 13:246. doi: 10.1186/ 1471-2180-13-246
- Thomas, D. P., Bachmann, S. P., and Lopez-Ribot, J. L. (2006). Proteomics for the analysis of the *Candida albicans* biofilm lifestyle. *Proteomics* 6, 5795–5804. doi: 10.1002/pmic.200600332
- Tournu, H., and Van Dijck, P. (2012). Candida biofilms and the host: models and new concepts for eradication. *Int. J. Microbiol.* 2012:845352. doi: 10.1155/2012/ 845352
- Tsimaris, P., Giannouli, A., Tzouma, C., Athanasopoulos, N., Creatsas, G., and Deligeoroglou, E. (2019). Alleviation of vulvovaginitis symptoms: can probiotics lead the treatment plan? *Benef. Microbes* 10, 867–872. doi: 10.3920/ BM2019.0048
- Tso, G., Reales-Calderon, J. A., and Pavelka, N. (2018). The elusive anti-Candida vaccine: lessons from the past and opportunities for the future. *Front. Immunol.* 9:897. doi: 10.3389/fimmu.2018.00897
- Tsui, C., Kong, E. F., and Jabra-Rizk, M. A. (2016). Pathogenesis of Candida albicans biofilm. Pathog. Dis. 74:ftw018. doi: 10.1093/femspd/ftw018
- Valotteau, C., Prystopiuk, V., Cormack, B. P., Dufrêne, Y. F., and Mitchell, A. P. (2019). Atomic force microscopy demonstrates that *Candida glabrata* uses three Epa proteins to mediate adhesion to abiotic surfaces. *mSphere* 4, e00277-19. doi: 10.1128/mSphere.00277-19
- van de Wijgert, J., and Verwijs, M. C. (2020). Lactobacilli-containing vaginal probiotics to cure or prevent bacterial or fungal vaginal dysbiosis: a systematic review and recommendations for future trial designs. *BJOG* 127, 287–299. doi: 10.1111/1471-0528.15870
- van Wijlick, L., Swidergall, M., Brandt, P., and Ernst, J. F. (2016). *Candida albicans* responds to glycostructure damage by Ace2-mediated feedback regulation of Cek1 signaling. *Mol. Microbiol.* 102, 827–849. doi: 10.1111/mmi.13494
- Vandeputte, P., Pradervand, S., Ischer, F., Coste, A. T., Ferrari, S., Harshman, K., et al. (2012). Identification and functional characterization of Rca1, a transcription factor involved in both antifungal susceptibility and host response in *Candida albicans. Eukaryot. Cell* 11, 916–931. doi: 10.1128/EC.00134-12
- Visek, J., Ryskova, L., Safranek, R., Lasticova, M., and Blaha, V. (2019). In vitro comparison of efficacy of catheter locks in the treatment of catheter related blood stream infection. *Clin. Nutr. ESPEN* 30, 107–112. doi: 10.1016/j.clnesp. 2019.01.010
- Vogel, M., Hartmann, T., Köberle, M., Treiber, M., Autenrieth, I. B., and Schumacher, U. K. (2008). Rifampicin induces MDR1 expression in *Candida albicans. J. Antimicrob. Chemother*. 61, 541–547. doi: 10.1093/jac/dkm513

- Wagener, J., Weindl, G., de Groot, P. W., de Boer, A. D., Kaesler, S., Thavaraj, S., et al. (2012). Glycosylation of *Candida albicans* cell wall proteins is critical for induction of innate immune responses and apoptosis of epithelial cells. *PLoS One* 7:e50518. doi: 10.1371/journal.pone.0050518
- Walraven, C. J., and Lee, S. A. (2013). Antifungal lock therapy. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 57, 1–8. doi: 10.1128/AAC.01351-12
- Wang, X., and Fries, B. C. (2011). A murine model for catheter-associated candiduria. J. Med. Microbiol. 60(Pt 10), 1523–1529. doi: 10.1099/jmm.0. 026294-0
- Wilkins, M., Zhang, N., and Schmid, J. (2018). Biological roles of protein-coding tandem repeats in the yeast *Candida albicans. J. Fungi* 4:78. doi: 10.3390/ jof4030078
- Willaert, R. G. (2018). Adhesins of yeasts: protein structure and interactions. *J. Fungi* 4:119. doi: 10.3390/jof4040119
- Willems, H., Lowes, D. J., Barker, K. S., Palmer, G. E., and Peters, B. M. (2018). Comparative analysis of the capacity of the Candida species to elicit vaginal immunopathology. *Infect. Immun.* 86:e00527-18. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00527-18
- Wuyts, J., Van Dijck, P., and Holtappels, M. (2018). Fungal persister cells: the basis for recalcitrant infections? *PLoS Pathog*. 14:e1007301. doi: 10.1371/journal.ppat. 1007301
- Xie, Y., Liu, X., and Zhou, P. (2020). In vitro antifungal effects of berberine against *Candida* spp. in planktonic and biofilm conditions. *Drug. Des. Devel. Ther.* 14, 87–101. doi: 10.2147/DDDT.S230857
- Yano, J., and Fidel, P. L. Jr. (2011). Protocols for vaginal inoculation and sample collection in the experimental mouse model of *Candida vaginitis*. J. Vis. Exp. 8:3382. doi: 10.3791/3382
- Yano, J., Noverr, M. C., and Fidel, P. L. Jr. (2012). Cytokines in the host response to *Candida vaginitis*: identifying a role for non-classical immune mediators, S100 alarmins. *Cytokine* 58, 118–128. doi: 10.1016/j.cyto.2011.11.021
- Yano, J., Peters, B. M., Noverr, M. C., and Fidel, P. L. Jr. (2018). Novel mechanism behind the immunopathogenesis of vulvovaginal candidiasis: "neutrophil anergy". *Infect. Immun.* 86, e684–e617. doi: 10.1128/IAI.00684-17
- Yano, J., Sobel, J. D., Nyirjesy, P., Sobel, R., Williams, V. L., Yu, Q., et al. (2019). Current patient perspectives of vulvovaginal candidiasis: incidence, symptoms, management and post-treatment outcomes. *BMC Womens Health* 19:48. doi: 10.1186/s12905-019-0748-8
- Yu, J. J., and Gaffen, S. L. (2008). Interleukin-17: a novel inflammatory cytokine that bridges innate and adaptive immunity. *Front. Biosci.* 13:170–177. doi: 10.2741/2667
- Zajac, D., Karkowska-Kuleta, J., Bochenska, O., Rapala-Kozik, M., and Kozik, A. (2016). Interaction of human fibronectin with *Candida glabrata* epithelial adhesin 6 (Epa6). *Acta Biochim. Pol.* 63, 417–426. doi: 10.18388/abp.2016\_1328
- Zarnowski, R., Westler, W. M., Lacmbouh, G. A., Marita, J. M., Bothe, J. R., Bernhardt, J., et al. (2014). Novel entries in a fungal biofilm matrix encyclopedia. *mBio* 5:e01333-14. doi: 10.1128/mBio.01333-14
- Zhao, S., Huang, J. J., Sun, X., Huang, X., Fu, S., Yang, L., et al. (2018). (1-aryloxy-2-hydroxypropyl)-phenylpiperazine derivatives suppress *Candida albicans* virulence by interfering with morphological transition. *Microb. Biotechnol.* 11, 1080–1089. doi: 10.1111/1751-7915.13307
- Zhao, X., Pujol, C., Soll, D. R., and Hoyer, L. L. (2003). Allelic variation in the contiguous loci encoding *Candida albicans* ALS5, ALS1 and ALS9. *Microbiology* 149(Pt 10), 2947–2960. doi: 10.1099/mic.0. 26495-0
- Zhou, Y., Wang, G., Li, Y., Liu, Y., Song, Y., Zheng, W., et al. (2012). In vitro interactions between aspirin and amphotericin B against planktonic cells and biofilm cells of *Candida albicans* and *C. parapsilosis*. *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother*. 56, 3250–3260. doi: 10.1128/AAC. 06082-11

**Conflict of Interest:** The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Copyright © 2020 Rodríguez-Cerdeira, Martínez-Herrera, Carnero-Gregorio, López-Barcenas, Fabbrocini, Fida, El-Samahy and González-Cespón. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (CC BY). The use, distribution or reproduction in other forums is permitted, provided the original author(s) and the copyright owner(s) are credited and that the original publication in this journal is cited, in accordance with accepted academic practice. No use, distribution or reproduction is permitted which does not comply with these terms.